Public Health Reports

VOLUME 58

ae

ng

ny nt or

ıt

lni ne at

d ne h

n

FEBRUARY 12, 1943

NUMBER 7

IN THIS ISSUE

Central State Health Services



CONTENTS

P	Page
Distribution of health services in the structure of State government. Chapter IX. Central State services affecting all branches of public	
health work. Joseph W. Mountin and Evelyn Flook	24
Provisional mortality rates for the first 9 months of 1942	27
Deaths in a group of large cities in the United States	28
Death claims reported by insurance companies.	28
PREVALENCE OF DISEASE	
United States:	
Reports from States for week ended February 6, 1943, and comparison	
with former years	28
Weekly reports from cities:	
City reports for week ended January 23, 1943	29
Plague infection in California and Washington	29
Foreign reports:	
Argentina—Poliomyelitis	29
Canada—Provinces—Communicable diseases—Weeks ended January 2 and 9, 1943	29
Cuba—	
Habana—Communicable diseases—4 weeks ended January 9,	29
	29
	29
Reports of cholera, plague, smallpox, typhus fever, and yellow fever	20
received during the current week—	
Plague	29
Smallpox	29
Typhus fever	29
	29
Court decision on public health	295

Public Health Reports

Vol. 58 • FEBRUARY 12, 1943 • No. 7

DISTRIBUTION OF HEALTH SERVICES IN THE STRUCTURE OF STATE GOVERNMENT *

CHAPTER IX. CENTRAL STATE SERVICES AFFECTING ALL BRANCHES OF PUBLIC HEALTH WORK

By Joseph W. Mountin, Assistant Surgeon General, and Evelyn Flook, United States Public Health Service

35

36

)1

12

13

)4

15

Each of the previous articles of this series* describing State health services as organized and administered during the year 1940 has been focused upon the combined efforts of all agencies of State government in specific service categories or toward the correction of a particular health problem. In addition to administering health programs for special purposes, a number of governmental units perform central services of a generalized nature which contribute to all branches of public health work.

Consideration of these miscellaneous central services forms the topic of the present discussion, which is the ninth chapter of the third edition of Public Health Bulletin No. 184. In this, as in all earlier

(249)

^{*} From the States Relations Division. This is the ninth chapter of the third edition of Public Health Bulletin No. 184. Previous chapters are:

Mountin, Joseph W., and Flook, Evelyn: Distribution of health services in the structure of State government—Chapter I. The composite pattern of State health services. Pub. Health Rep., 56: 1673 (August 22, 1941). Reprint No. 2306.

Mountin, Joseph W., and Flook, Evelyn: Distribution of health services in the structure of State government—Chapter II. Communicable disease control by State agencies. Pub. Health Rep., 56: 2233 (November 21, 1941). Reprint No. 2334.

Mountin, Joseph W., and Flook, Evelyn: Distribution of health services in the structure of State government—Chapter III. Tuberculosis control by State agencies. Pub. Health Rep., 57: 65 (January 16, 1942). Reprint No. 2348.

Mountin, Joseph W., and Flook, Evelyn: Distribution of health services in the structure of State government—Chapter IV. Venereal disease control by State agencies. Pub. Health Rep., 57: 553 (April 17, 1942). Reprint No. 2369.

Mountin, Joseph W., and Flook, Evelyn: Distribution of health services in the structure of State govern ment—Chapter V. Sanitation by State agencies. Pub. Health Rep., 57: 885 (June 12, 1942) and 57: 917 (June 19, 1942). Reprint No. 2386.

Mountin, Joseph W., and Flook, Evelyn: Distribution of health services in the structure of State government—Chapter VI. Medical and dental care by State agencies. Pub. Health Rep., 57: 1195 (August 14, 1942) and 57: 1235 (August 21, 1942). Reprint No. 2395.

Mountin, Joseph W., and Flook, Evelyn: Distribution of health services in the structure of State government—Chapter VII. Maternity-child health activities by State agencies. Pub. Health Rep., 57: 1791 (November 27, 1942). Reprint No. 2425.

Mountin, Joseph W., and Flook, Evelyn: Distribution of health services in the structure of State government—Chapter VIII. Industrial health services by State agencies. Pub. Health Rep., 58: 33 (January 5, 1943). Reprint No. 2439.

The concluding chapter will be published in a subsequent issue of the Public Health Reports.

chapters of the current version of the bulletin, the methods by which all State departments, boards, and commissions function with respect to the service in question are depicted. Thus, the third edition is broader in scope than the former issue, which treated the activities of health departments only. Neither the activities of local official agencies nor of voluntary health organizations are included in the present revision unless they contribute to budgets of official State agencies.

General services which are provided by the States ² and which affect all branches of public health work are: Vital statistics, laboratory services, health education, and licensure of professions and facilities which afford health services. From table 1 may be identified the particular agency of State government which is responsible for certain activities outlined in connection with each service.

Table 1.—Department of State government* responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands**

			S	tate or	Territ	ory		
Activity	Alabama	Arizona	Arkansas	California	Colorado	Connecticut	Delaware	District of Columbia
VITAL STATISTICS: Receives the following reports: Births. Deaths. Marriages Divorces. Morbidity reports (covered in chapters II,	1 1 1 1 1	1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	
III, IV, VI, and VIII of this series). Analyzes the reports received. Allocates births and deaths to place of residence. Furnishes other agencies and divisions with copies of reports or results of analyses:	1	1	1 61	1	1 1	1 1	1 1	
Routinely Upon request Makes payments from State funds for vital statistics reports submitted by local registrars	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	
Certifies for payment by local authorities vital statistics reports submitted by local registrars LABORATORY SERVICES:	1	1		1	1	1	1	
Examines the following types of specimens: Venereal disease. Other communicable disease. Noncommunicable disease. Water samples. Milk samples. Food and drug samples Substances affecting industrial health. Engages in research activities. Manufactures biologicals distributed at State expense. Operates branch laboratories.	1 46 1 3 1 1	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 6,7	d 1 1 1,6 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 46 1 7 1 1	1 1 1 14 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

¹ Ferrell, John A., Smillie, Wilson G., Covington, Platt W., and Mead, Pauline A.; International Division of the Rockefeller Foundation for the Conference of State and Provincial Health Authorities of North America: Health Departments of States and Provinces of the United States and Canada. Public Health Bulletin No. 184 (Revised). United States Government Printing Office, Washington, 1932.

² The term "State" as used in the discussion which follows includes the States, the Territories, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands.

Table 1.—Department of State government responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands—Continued

			St	ate or	Territo	ory		
Activity	Alabama	Arizona	Arkansas	California	Colorado	Connecticut	Delaware	District of Columbia
LABORATORY SERVICES—Continued. Distributes grants-in-aid to local laboratories. Maintains general supervision over private lab-				1	1	1		
oratories Approves private laboratories for selected types of work only HEALTH EDUCATION:				: 1	1	1	1	
Provides in-service training for public health personnel:								
Physicians Nurses Sanitation personnel Dentists and/or dental hygienists Laboratory personnel	1 1 1	1 1	1 1 1	1,6 1	1 1	1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1, 14 1, 14 1
Others	1	1	1				*****	
Physicians Nurses Sanitation personnel Dentists and/or dental hygienists	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1
Others. Engages in postgraduate educational activities			*****	1		1	*****	
for private practitioners: Physicians. Nurses	1	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1
Dentists	1							
persons through: Group instruction	1	1	1	1, 3, 4, 6	1	1	1	1
Radio Bulletins and pamphlets	1	1	1	1, 3, 4, 6 1, 3,	1	1	1	1
Exhibits.	1	1	1	4,6	1	1	1	1
Press releases	1	1		4,6	1	1	1	1
Motion pictures Employs special personnel for the editing and release of educational material	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
LICENSURE: Licenses members of the healing arts: Physicians Osteopaths Chiropractors Optometrists Nurses Dentists Dental hygienists Pharmacists Others Licenses embalmers and/or funeral directors Licenses embalmers and/or funeral directors Licenses midwives (covered in chapter VII of this series). Licenses sanitation personnel: Plumbers, awimming-pool operators, operators of water and sewage treatment plants (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses barbers and beauticians (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses hospitals and/or other health facilities (covered in chapters III, VI, and VII of this series).	10	9, 13 9, 13 • 13 10 11 12 • 13 13	9 13 13 10 11 11 11 12 13 13	9 13 13 13 10 11 11 11 12 9 13	9 9 13 13 10 11 11 11 12	1, 13 1, 13 1, 13 1, 13 1, 10 11 11 12 1, 13 13	13 13 10 11 11 11 12 13 13	8 8 8 13 3 10 111 112 12 8 1

1

l

d d r

1

rth lth

riet

Table 1.—Department of State government responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands—Continued

				State	or Terr	itory		
Activity	Florida	Georgia	Idaho .	Illinois	Indiana	Iowa	Kansas	Kentucky
VITAL STATISTICS:								
Receives the following reports:	. 1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	
Births Deaths	î						i	
Marriages	. 1		- 1			- 1	1	
Divorces.	. 1					. 1		
III. IV VI and VIII of this series).								
Morbidity reports (covered in chapters II, III, IV, VI, and VIII of this series). Analyzes the reports received. Allocates births and deaths to place of residence.	. 1	1		1		1	1	
Allocates births and deaths to place of residence.	. 1	1		- 1		- b1	1	
Furnishes other agencies and divisions with copies of reports or results of analyses:								1
Routinely	. 1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	
Upon request	. 1	1	1		1	1	1	
Makes payments from State funds for vital sta-	1		1					
tistics reports submitted by local registrars. Certifies for payment by local authorities vital statistics reports submitted by local registrars. ABORATORY SERVICES:	1 *			-				
statistics reports submitted by local registrars.		. 1	1	1		. 1	1	
ABORATORY SERVICES:	1							
Examines the following types of specimens: Venereal disease	1	1	1	1	1	1,6	d 1	
Other communicable disease	1	î	1	î	1	1,6	1	
Noncommunicable disease		1	1		1,6	1,6	d 1	
Water samples	1	1 3	1	1 3	1 1	1, 3, 6	11,16	
Food and drug samples	3	3	Î	3	î	3	1,6	
Substances affecting industrial health			. 1	1,5	1	1	1	
Engages in research activities Manufactures biologicals distributed at State	1	1, 3		1, 5	1,6	1,6	1	
expense		1		. 1		1,6	1	
Operates branch laboratories	1	1	1	1			1	
Distributes grants-in-aid to local laboratories Maintains general supervision over private		1					1	
laboratories				1				
Approves private laboratories for selected types								
of work only. EALTH EDUCATION:		P 1			1	h1, h6	1	
Provides in-service training for public health								
personnel:					1.00			
Physicians	1	1	1	1	1, 2, 6 1, 2	1	1	
Nurses Sanitation personnel		1,	î	1,6	1	1,6	î	
	3, 14	3,6						
Dentists and/or dental hygienists Laboratory personnel	1		1	1	1,6	1,6	1	
Others				i	1,0	1,0	2	
Provides academic training for public health								
personnel:	1	1	1	1	1, 2, 6	1	1	
Physicians	1	î	1, 2	1	1, 2, 0	î	1	
Sanitation personnel	1	1	1	1	1	i	1	
Dentists and/or dental hygienists	*****	î	*****	1	1,6		1	1
Laboratory personnelOthers	1	1	1			1	1	1
Engages in postgraduate educational activities				*****		-		
for private practitioners:								
Physicians Nurses	1	1	1	1	1, 6 1, 6	1,6	1	1
Dentists	1	1		î	1,0	1,6	1	
Engages in health education activities for lay persons through:								
Group instruction	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Radio	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Bulletins	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Exhibits Press releases	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MOUION DICTURES	î	î	î	î	î	1	î	î
Employs special personnel for the editing and								1
release of educational material	1	1		1	1	1	11	1

Table 1.—Department of State government responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands—Continued

			8	tate or	Territ	ory		
Activity	Florida	Georgia	Idaho	Illinois	Indiana	Iowa	Kansas	Kentucky
LICENSURE: Licenses members of the healing arts: Physicians. Osteopaths. Chiropractors. Optometrists. Nurses. Dentists. Dental hygienists. Pharmacists Others. Licenses embalmers and/or funeral directors Licenses midwives (covered in chapter VII of this series). Licenses sanitation personnel: Plumbers, swimming pool operators, operators of water and sewage treatment plants (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses barbers and beauticians (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses barbers and beauticians (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses hospitals and/or other health facilities (covered in chapters III, VI, and VII of this series).	13 13 13 10 11	9 13 13 13 10 11 11 11 12	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3	9 9 9 13 10 11 12 9 13	1, 9 1, 13 1, 13 1, 13 10 10 1, 11 1, 11 12 1, 13 1, 13	9 13 13 13 10 11 12 13 13	1 13 13 10 11 12 13

		,	S	tate or	Territ	ory		
Activity	Louisiana	Maine .	Maryland	Massachu- setts	Michigan	Minnesota	Missis.	Missouri
VITAL STATISTICS: Receives the following reports:								
Births	. 1	1	1	14	1		1	1
Deaths	1	1	1 1	14	1	1	1	1
Divorces	1	1	î	14	i		1	
Morbidity reports (covered in chapters II, III, IV, VI, and VIII of this series).	1	******	1	1	^	*****	1	
Analyzes the reports received	1	1	1	14	1	1	1	1
Allocates births and deaths to place of residence Furnishes other agencies and divisions with	î	î	i	14			î	
copies of reports or results of analyses:				14				
Routinely Upon request	1	1	1	14	1	1	1	
Makes payments from State funds for vital	1		1	7.8		1 *		
statistics reports submitted by local registrars.								
Certifies for payment by local authorities vital								
statistics reports submitted by local registrars	1	1	1	14	1	1	1	1
LABORATORY SERVICES: Examines the following types of specimens:								
Venereal disease	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Other communicable disease	1	l î		l i	î	î	1	î
Noncommunicable disease	1, 14	l î	1	1	1,6	46		1, 414
Water samples	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.7	11
Milk samples	1	1, 14	1	1 1 5	1	1,3	1	i
Food and drug samples	1	1, 14	1	1	1,3	3	7	l î
Substances affecting industrial health					1	1		1
Engages in research activities Manufactures biologicals distributed at State	1	*****	1	1	1	1, 6	1	14
expense	1			1	1	1	1	
Operates branch laboratories		1	1	1	1	1		
Distributes grants-in-aid to local laboratories					1		1	
Maintains general supervision over private								
laboratories				h 1	1			
Approves private laboratories for selected types								
of work only	1		.1	1		1 1		

1

ī

1111111

1

Table 1.—Department of State government responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands—Continued

			81	tate or	Territ	ory		
Activity	Louisiana	Maine	Maryland	Massachu- setts	Michigan	Minnesota	Missis.	Missouri
HEALTH EDUCATION: Provides in-service training for public health personnel: Physicians	1,6	1 1 1 1	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1, 3, 6 1 1 1 6	1 1 1 1 1 1	
personnel: Physicians Nurses Sanitation personnel Dentists and/or dental hygienists Laboratory personnel Others Engages in postgraduate educational activities for private practitioners: Physicians	4	1 1 1 1 1	1	1 1 1 1 1	1, 6 1, 6 1, 6 1, 6 1, 6 1, 6	1 1 1 1 1 1 6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Nurses Dentists		1	1	1	1, 6	1	1	*****
Others Engages in health education activities for lay persons through: Group instruction Radio Bulletins Exhibits Press releases Motion pictures Employs special personnel for the editing and	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1,4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
release of educational material LICENSURE: Licenses members of the healing arts: Physicians. Osteopaths. Chiropractors. Optometrists. Nurses. Dentists. Dentists. Dental hygienists. Pharmacists. Others. Licenses embalmers and/or funeral directors. Licenses midwives (covered in chapter VII of this series). Licenses sanitation personnel: Plumbers, swimming pool operators, operators of water and sewage treatment plants (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses barbers and beauticians (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses hospitals and/or other health facilities (covered in chapter III, VI, and VII of this series).	13 10 11	9 13 13 13 10 11 11 12	9 13 13 13 10 11 12	8, 9 8, 13 8, 13 8, 10 8, 11 8, 11 8, 12 8, 13 8, 13	9 13 13 13 10 11 11 11 12	9 13 13 13 10 11 11 12 9, 13	1 1 13 10 11 11 12 1 13 18	13 13 13 13 14 11 12 12 13

Table 1.—Department of State government responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands—Continued

	State or Territory										
Activity	Montans	Nebraska	Nevada	New Hampshire	New Jersey	New Mexico	New York	North			
TITAL STATISTICS:											
Receives the following reports:				1 .	1	1	1				
Births	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			
Marriages		î	î	i	i		î				
Divorces		1		1	14						
Morbidity reports (covered in chapters II, III, IV, VI, and VIII of this series).					1	1	1				
Analyzes the reports received. Allocates births and deaths to place of residence	1	1	1	di	i	1	i				
Furnishes other agencies and divisions with copies of reports or results of analyses:						^					
Routinely	1	1			1	1	1	1			
Upon request.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Makes payments from State funds for vital sta- tistics reports submitted by local registrars			1								
Certifies for payment by local authorities vital statistics reports submitted by local registrars. ABORATORY SERVICES: Examines the following types of specimens:	1	1		1	1	1	1				
ABORATORY SERVICES:					1						
Examines the following types of specimens:		1	1	1	1	1	1				
Venereal disease Other communicable disease	1	î	1	i	i	i	i				
Noncommunicable disease	1		1	1, 14		41	1				
Water samples	1	1	1,6	1	1	1	1				
Milk samples	14	3	1,6	1	1	1	3				
Food and drug samples. Substances affecting industrial health Engages in research activities	1	3	6	1			5				
Engages in research activities	1, 14				1	d 1	1,5				
Manufactures biologicals distributed at State	.,,,,	00000						1			
expense							1				
Operates branch laboratories Distributes grants-in-aid to local laboratories	1			1	i		1				
Maintains general supervision over private lab- oratories	1						1				
Approves private laboratories for selected types											
of work only EALTH EDUCATION:					1						
EALTH EDUCATION:											
Provides in-service training for public health personnel:											
Physicians		1			1	1	1				
Nurses Sanitation personnel	1, 2	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Sanitation personnel	1, 3, 14	3	1	1		1	1				
Dentists and/or dental hygienists Laboratory personnel	1	1	*****		i		1				
Others	î		*****			1	î				
Provides academic training for public health											
personnel:						1	1				
Physicians	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Nurses Sanitation personnel Dentists and/or dental hygienists	î	î		î	1						
Dentists and/or dental hygienists						*****					
Laboratory personner	1		1	1	1 7	1	******				
Others Engages in postgraduate educational activities	******		1	1			1				
for private practitioners:											
Physicians	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Nurses	1						1				
Dentists	1	1	1	*****			1				
Others					*****						
Group instruction	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Radio Bulletins	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Exhibits	i	1	1	i	î	î	î				
Press releases	1				1	1	1				
Motion pictures Employs special personnel for the editing and release of educational material	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Employs special personnel for the editing and											

505162°-43--2

Table 1.—Department of State government responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands—Continued

			S	tate or	Territor	ry		
Activity	Montana	Nebraska	Nevada	New Hampshire	New Jersey	New Mexico	New York	North Carolina
Licenses members of the healing arts: Physicians Osteopaths Chiropractors Optometrists Nurses Dentists Dental hygienists Pharmacists Others Licenses embalmers and/or funeral directors Licenses midwives (covered in chapter VII of this series). Licenses sanitation personnel: Plumbers, swimming pool operators, operators of water and sewage treatment plants (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses barbers and beauticians (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses barbers and beauticians (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses hospitals and/or other health facilities (covered in chapters III, VI, and VII of this series).	13 13 13 10 11 11 12	4, 10	1 13 1 13 1 13 0 10 1 11	13 13 14 11 11 12	9 8 9 8 13 10 11	9 13 13 13 10 11 11 12 13 13 13	4 4 4 4 1 1	13 13 13 16 11 12 13 13
		1	St	ate or	Territor	у		
Activity	North Dakota	Ohio	Oklahoma	Oregon	Pennsylvania	Rhode Island	South Carolina	South Dakota
NITAL STATISTICS: Receives the following reports: Births	1 1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1	1 1 1 1
IV, VI, and VIII of this series). Analyzes the reports received Allocates births and deaths to place of residence Furnishes other agencies and divisions with copies of reports or results of analyses.	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Routinely Upon request Makes navments from State funds for vital sta-	1		1 1 1	1	1	1	1	1
tistics reports submitted by local registrars Certifies for payment by local authorities vital statistics reports submitted by local registrars. ABORATORY SERVICES: Examines the following types of specimens: Venereal disease.	1	1	1	1 d 1	1	1	1	1,6
Venereat disease Other communicable disease Noncommunicable disease Water samples Milk samples Food and drug samples Substances affecting industrial health Engages in research activities	1, 7 1, 7 1, 7 7	1 d 6 l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	1 1 1 1 1 1 d 1	1,6 1,6 1,3 3	1 1 1 1 1, 3, 12 1, 5 1, 6	1, 3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,6 1,6 1,6 1,6 1,3,6

Table 1.—Department of State government responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands—Continued

			S	tate or	Territo	ry		
Activity	North Dakota	Ohio	Oklahoma	Oregon	Pennsylvania	Rhode Island	South Carolina	South Dakota
LABORATORY SERVICES—Continued. Operates branch laboratories Distributes grants-in-aid to local laboratories Maintains general supervision over private lab-	1	1	1	1	1	1		1, 6
oratories Approves private laboratories for selected types of work only		q 1	b 1	1	1,6	1		1
HEALTH EDUCATION: Provides in-service training for public health personnel:								
Physicians Nurses Sanitation personnel	1	1 1,3	1 1	1 1 1 1 3	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1
Dentists and/or dental hygienists Laboratory personnel Others	1 1	1	1 1		î	i	1	i
Provides academic training for public health personnel: Physicians	1	1	1	1	1,6		1	1
Nurses Sanitation personnel Dentists and/or dental hygienists	1	1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1, 6 1, 6		1 1 1 1	1
Others. Engages in postgraduate educational activities	1	*****		1	1,6	1		
for private practitioners: Physicians Nurses	1	<u>i</u>	1	i	1	1	1	1
Others Engages in health education activities for lay	1	1	1	1	1		1	
persons through: Group instruction	1 1	1	1 1	1, 4 1, 4	1	1 1	1	1
Bulletins	1 1 1	1	1 1 1	1, 4	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1
Motion pictures Employs special personnel for the editing and release of educational material	1	1	1	1, 4	1	1	1	
LICENSURE: Licenses members of the healing arts: Physicians	9	9	6	9	4,9	1	9	1
Osteopaths Chiropractors Optometrists Nurses Dentists Dental hygienists	13 13 13 10 11	9 9 13 9 11 11 12	13 13 13 10 11 11 11	13 13 13 10 11	4, 13 4, 9 4, 13 4, 10 4, 11 4, 11	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13 13 13 10 11	13 13 13 10 11 11
Pharmacists. Others. Licenses embalmers and/or funeral directors Licenses midwives (covered in chapter VII of this series). Licenses sanitation personnel: Plumbers, swim- ming pool operators, operators of water and sewage treatment plants (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses barbers and beauticians (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses hospitals and/or other health facilities (covered in chapters III, VI, and VII of this series).	12 13 1	12 9 13	12 13 13	12 13 13	4, 12 4, 9 1, 4, 13	1	12 13 13	12 13 13

1

Table 1.—Department of State government responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands—Continued

		,	8	tate or	Territ	ory		
Activity	Tennessee	Texas	Utah	Vermont	Virginia	Washington	West Virginia	Wisconsin
VITAL STATISTICS:								
Receives the following reports:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 .	1
Births Deaths	i		li	1 1	1	i	1	
Marriages				l î	i		i	1
Divorces				1	1			
Morbidity reports (covered in chapters II,								
Morbidity reports (covered in chapters II, III, IV, VI, and VIII of this series). Analyzes the reports received	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Allocates births and deaths to place of residence	î	1	î		î	î	b 1	
Allocates births and deaths to place of residence Furnishes other agencies and divisions with copies	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
of reports or results of analyses:								
Routinely		- 1	1		1	1	1	
Upon request	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
tictics reports submitted by local registrars								
Certifies for payment by local authorities vital statistics reports submitted by local registrars ABORATORY SERVICES:		1						
statistics reports submitted by local registrars	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
ABORATORY SERVICES:		1						
Examines the following types of specimens: Venereal disease.	1	1	1	1	1, . 6	1	1	
Other communicable disease	1	1	l i	1	1, 0	1	i	
Noncommunicable disease	1	î	1	6, 14	d 1		i	
Water samples	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Milk samples	1	1	7	1,3	1,3	1, 7	1	1,
Food and drug samples	3	1	7	1	1, 3	7 5	# 1	
Substances affecting industrial health Engages in research activities		1			î	0	1	1
Manufactures biologicals distributed at State		1 *			1		^	,
expense	1	1	1				1	
Operates branch laboratories	1	*****	1		1			
Distributes grants-in-aid to local laboratories Maintains general supervision over private lab-		1	*****		*****	1		
oratories								
Approves private laboratories for selected types of								
work only					1	1	1	1
EALTH EDUCATION: Provides in-service training for public health per-								
sonnel:								
Physicians	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Nurses Sanitation personnel	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1, 2	
Sanitation personnel	1, 3, 6	1	1	1		1	1	1
Dentists and/or dental hygienists Laboratory personnel	1	1	1	*****	1			1
Others.	i	i		*****		1		
Provides academic training for public health per-								
sonnel:								
Physicians	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Nurses Sanitation personnel Dentists and/or dental hygienists. Laboratory personnel	î	î	1	1	1	i	1	
Dentists and/or dental hygienists	î	î		î				
Laboratory personnel	1	1	1	*****	1			
Others Engages in postgraduate educational activities for	1, 6	1	1		*****			
Drivete Precisioners.								
Physicians	1, 6	1	1	1	1, 6	1	1	
Nurses								
Dentists	1		1	1				
Others. Engages in health education activities for lay per-								
sons through:								
Group instruction	1, 4, 6	1	1	1	1.4	1	1	
Radio	1	î	î	î	1	î	î	
Bulletins	. 1	1	1	1	1, 4	1	1	
Exhibits	1,6	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Press releases. Motion pictures	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Employs special personnel for the editing and							1	
Motion pictures Employs special personnel for the editing and release of educational material	1, 4, 6	1			1		1	
ICENSURE:	4							
Therman manch and add to the								
Licenses members of the healing arts: Physicians.	9	9	8	9	9	8	1	

Table 1.—Department of State government responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands—Continued

			St	ate or	Territo	ry		
Activity	Tennessee	Texas	Utah	Vermont	Virginia	Washington	West Virginia	Wisconsin
ICENSURE—Continued. Licenses members of the healing arts—Con. Chiropractors. Optometrists. Nurses. Dentists. Dental hygienists. Pharmacists. Others. Licenses embalmers and/or funeral directors. Licenses midwives (covered in chapter VII of this series). Licenses sanitation personnel: Plumbers, swimming pool operators, operators of water and sewage treatment plants (covered in chapter V of this series). Licenses hospitals and/or other health facilities (covered in chapters III, VI, and VII of this series).	13 13 10 11 11 12 13 13	13 10 11 12 13 13	8888	13 13 10 11 11 11 12	13 10 11 12	8 8 8 8 8 12 8	13 13 10 11 11 12 13	13 13 1, 10 11 11 12 1, 13

	State or Territory						
Activity	Wyoming	Alaska	Hawaii	Puerto Rico	Virgin Islands		
VITAL STATISTICS: Receives the following reports: Births	1	14 14 14	l i	1 1 1 1 1			
III, IV, VI, and VIII of this series). Analyzes the reports received Allocates births and deaths to place of residence. Furnishes other agencies and divisions with	1 1		1 1	b 1			
Routinely Upon request Makes payments from State funds for vital statistics reports submitted by local registrars. Certifies for payment by local authorities vital statistics reports submitted by local registrars.		14 14	1	1 1	1		
LABURATURY SERVICES:	1						
Examines the following types of specimens: Venereal disease Other communicable disease Noncommunicable disease Water samples Milk samples Food and drug samples Substances affecting industrial health Engages in research activities Manufactures biologicals distributed at State expense Operates branch laboratories Distributes grants-in-aid to local laboratories Maintains general supervision over private lab-	1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1		
Food and drug samples. Substances affecting industrial health.	1, 7		1 1	1			
Engages in research activities Manufactures biologicals distributed at State				1			
Operates branch laboratories Distributes grants-in-aid to local laboratories			1	1			
Maintains general supervision over private lab- oratories							
Approves private laboratories for selected types of work only HEALTH EDUCATION: Provides in-service training for public health per-	1				********		
sonnel: Physicians Nurses Sanitation personnel Dentists and/or dental hygienists Laboratory personnel	1 1	1	1	1 1	1		
Dentists and/or dental hygienists Laboratory personnel	1			1	1		

6 1 1

1 3

Table 1.—Department of State government responsible for miscellaneous services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands—Continued

	State or Territory						
Activity	Wyoming	Alaska	Hawaii	Puerto Rico	Virgin		
HEALTH EDUCATION—Continued. Provides academic training for public health personnel:							
Physicians Nurses Sanitation personnel	1	1	1 1	1 1			
Dentists and/or dental hygienists	í						
Laboratory personnel		1	1	1			
Others			î				
Engages in postgraduate educational activities							
Physicians	1	1	1	1			
Nurses							
Dentists							
Others					********		
Engages in health education activities for lay persons through: Group instruction				1			
Radio	1,4	1 1	1, 4		,		
Bulletins			1, 4	1			
Exhibits			1, 4	1			
Press releases	1,4		1, 4	1			
Motion pictures	1	1	1	i			
Employs special personnel for the editing and					********		
release of educational material	1, 4		1.4	1			
LICENSURE:	1, 4		1, 7				
Licenses members of the healing arts:							
Physicians.	9	9	9	9			
Osteopaths	9	14	13	9			
Chiropractors	13	13	13				
Optometrists	13	13	13				
Nurses	10		10	9	10		
Dentists	11	11	11	11	1		
Dental hygienists			11				
Pharmacists	12	12	12	12	9		
Others		->	1, 13				
Licenses embalmers and/or funeral directors	13	14	1	1			
Licenses midwives (covered in chapter VII of this series).							
Licenses sanitation personnel: Plumbers, swim- ming-pool operators, operators of water and sewage treatment plants (covered in chapter V							
of this series). Licenses barbers and beauticians (covered in chapter V of this series).							
chapter V of this series). Licenses hospitals and/or other health facilities (covered in chapters III, VI, and VII of this series).							

* Code:

- 1. Health department
- Department of welfare
 Department of agriculture
 Department of education

- 5. Department of labor
 6. State university or college
 7. Independent State laboratory, State laboratory department, State chemist, State toxicologist
 8. Department of civil service and registration, department of registration and education, department of law enforcement, commission on licensure
 9. Board of medical examiners
- Board of nursing examiners
 Board of dental examiners
- Board of pharmacy
 Other independent licensing or examining boards established specifically for control of the professional group indicated
- 14. Other departments of State government
- *Any differences between information presented in this table and corresponding entries in previous chapters of this series are the result of combining several activities originally shown separately or of further refinement of the data since publication of the earlier articles.

 * The department of health is really a division (Idaho) and bureau (Maine) of public health, subordinate to the department of public welfare (Idaho) and the department of health and welfare (Maine).

 * Within the State only.

 * Within the State only for births; both within and without the State for deaths.

 * To a limited extent or under certain conditions only—for selected areas, selected cases, etc.

- Within the state only for diffus; both within and without the state for deaths.
 To a limited extent or under certain conditions only—for selected areas, selected cases, etc.
 Two agencies of this classification function in this manner.
 Service provided, but on a fee basis.
 Has authority, but little is done.
 On a voluntary basis.

VITAL STATISTICS

Improvement in the collection and preservation of vital statistics (records of births and deaths and—in some instances—of marriages and divorces) has been stimulated by the United States Bureau of the Census over a period of years. As early as 1880 a registration area for deaths was established, with two States and the District of Columbia qualifying for membership. The registration area for births was initiated in 1915. Requirements for admission to these areas were based upon satisfactory State vital statistics laws and 90 percent completeness of reporting, as shown by special applied tests. In 1940, all 48 States, the District of Columbia, Hawaii, and the Virgin Islands had been admitted to both the death and birth registration areas. Puerto Rico belonged to the death registration area only, and Alaska had not qualified for admission to either.

In addition to supplying valuable information concerning population trends, complete files of vital statistics records constitute a valuable reservoir of information which may be drawn upon to supply the answers to numerous related questions, many of which are significant in the field of health. Then too, from such files may be made transcripts of birth and death certificates which are constantly in demand for verification of age or of citizenship or for collection of death benefits.

All but two of the 53 jurisdictions delegate collection and processing of vital statistics to the State health department, and most of them specifically designate by statute that the State health officer shall be registrar of vital statistics for the State. Massachusetts and Alaska are the two exceptions; the secretary of State and the Territorial auditor, respectively, are the governmental units responsible. In view of such striking agreement among the States as to the agency officially in charge of assembling and interpreting vital statistics data, it might be expected that a uniform procedure would be followed in the items recorded and in the State set-up for collecting vital statistics, that a common type of analysis would be made by the several vital statistics units, and that consistent arrangements for supplying copies of birth and death certificates would be agreed upon. On the contrary, marked individuality characterizes State plans for administering vital statistics services.

While reports of births and deaths are received by all States, marriages are reported to the State agency in but 32 jurisdictions, and divorces in only 20. In all States, the collection of vital statistics records is accomplished through local registrars functioning in local registration districts. These local registrars receive reports of births and deaths directly from attending physicians, midwives, undertakers,

February 12, 1943 262

or other informants. The basis upon which local registration districts are formed and the method by which local registrars are appointed are prescribed by State law. For the most part, political subdivisions of a county constitute the basis for establishing local vital statistics registration districts. Cities, villages, towns, townships, election districts, magisterial districts, or similar minor civil divisions form the local registration areas in 42 States. In the remaining States, geographic rather than political characteristics are the factors which determine the boundaries of local registration districts. Convenience of communication, transportation facilities, and mail service are items usually considered under this plan. There is even greater variation with respect to the method of appointing local registrars. In over two-fifths of the States, either the State board of health, the State health officer, or the director of the bureau of vital statistics makes the appointments; in a dozen more, they are appointed locally by the board of county commissioners, the local health officer, the board of town trustees, the mayor, or board of aldermen; in 10 States the duties of city or town clerk or of local health officer automatically include the collection of vital statistics; while in the remaining half dozen States, the office is elective—by popular vote of the community.

Another administrative item regarding which there is diversity of policy is the promptness with which vital statistics reports must be filed with local registrars and forwarded by them to the State. The period allowed physicians and midwives for reporting births to the local registrar ranges from 3 to 15 days, with 10 days being the time most frequently given. For deaths, anywhere from 24 hours to 10 days is set as the limit, but 72 hours, or "before burial," represents the most usual requirement. With but few exceptions, birth and death certificates are forwarded to the State office at monthly intervals. In several States they must be in by the fourth day of the succeeding month; in others, 15 or 20 days are allowed. Generally, however,

the tenth day marks the limit.

Finally, the means and amount of reimbursement for local registrars is a subject of disagreement. In general, where vital statistics registrars hold their positions by an ex officio arrangement, the salary which they draw by virtue of their major office also covers their efforts as vital statistics collectors. Persons who function solely as local registrars are usually recompensed on a fee rather than a salary basis. The size of fee ranges from 10 cents to over \$1 for each birth and death certificate submitted to the State, with 25 cents the figure most commonly reported. In a few jurisdictions only half-price is paid for delayed certificates, while in others a flat monthly bonus is paid for prompt reporting; in still others, the rate for birth certificates is double that for certificates of death. Occasionally, payments for this service are made by the State agency from State

funds. The more customary procedure is that whereby the State agency certifies the amount to which each local registrar is entitled, and payment is made by the respective counties or cities.

States differ to a lesser extent in the use which they make of the vital statistics data collected. Each bureau or division of vital statistics functions primarily as a service unit, the foremost concern of which is to file and preserve the original records entrusted thereto and to supply certified copies of birth and death records to individuals requesting such information. More recently, proof of age and place of birth has been required for an increasing number of purposes. Outstanding among these are verification of age for a child to enter school; for the right to vote, to marry, or to inherit; for work permits in connection with enforcement of laws against employing minors in certain occupations; for government employment under the civil service system or in war industries; for induction into the military service; and for securing Federal benefits such as social security grants. Requests for copies of death and marriage records are made less frequently, but the State agency supplies them as called for. Hundreds and, in some cases, even thousands of copies of vital statistics records are issued each year by State bureaus or divisions of vital statistics. Charges for such service vary from 25 cents to \$1 per copy. Another routine performance of all State vital statistics units is the furnishing of transcripts of all birth, death, and stillbirth certificates to the United States Bureau of the Census each month and the monthly and annual compiling of certain tabulations for the United States Public Health Service.

Beyond these common services, all States make certain types of analyses of the gross body of vital statistics data which they have gathered. The detail of such analyses depends, to a large extent, upon the size of staff and mechanical equipment available for study purposes.

By measuring death rates from different causes, the progress of health programs directed toward reduction of particular diseases or conditions can be evaluated. Likewise, situations requiring intensified control are disclosed. All States routinely tabulate deaths by cause, and nearly all of them make age, race, sex, and locality classifications. Three-fourths of the States in determining death rates by locality correct the place of death to actual place of residence. In addition to making ordinary tabulations, which are furnished to an established list of recipients by almost 75 percent of the jurisdictions, most States are prepared to supply—upon request—special statistical reports to official and nonofficial agencies having particular health interests. Routine referral of limited information upon receipt thereof is made by about a third of the State bureaus of vital statistics to

505162°-43---3

interested official and voluntary agencies. For example, in some States it is routine practice to furnish the division of tuberculosis with descriptive information (age, sex, race, etc.) of persons dying of tuberculosis; in others, reports of maternity and infant deaths are referred automatically to the division of maternity and child health; still others regularly notify the division of epidemiology of deaths from the various communicable diseases. A number of crippled children's divisions, likewise, periodically receive reports of births with congenital deformities, while the State department of motor vehicles and the National Safety Council are frequent recipients of information concerning accidental deaths.

Unfortunately, according to statements made by some State personnel engaged in vital statistics work, the material available from their files is not always utilized fully by division directors responsible for program planning. Furthermore, the increased demand for copies of birth records has necessitated curtailment of analytical procedures. As a result of these combined circumstances, few States go beyond their primary duty of collecting and preserving records and preparing

more or less standard tabulations.

LABORATORY SERVICES

Public health laboratories are maintained by the State for three major purposes. First, they make available to physicians, hospitals, and public health personnel diagnostic facilities which would otherwise be unavailable. Second, certain biologicals to be distributed for preventive or therapeutic purposes are prepared therein. Third, the personnel of such laboratories act in a supervisory capacity with regard to practices and procedures of private laboratories. Availability of State laboratory service for specific purposes has already received some consideration in previous articles of this series.³ At the same time, these scattered references give no complete or coherent picture of State organization for making all types of laboratory tests that may be required for the administration of a balanced health program.

To a varying extent, and under divergent circumstances, provision of laboratory service for the diagnosis of communicable and—in some instances—noncommunicable diseases, for the analysis of drinking water, milk, foods, and drugs, and for determination of the presence and concentration of toxic substances in industrial establishments is recognized as a responsibility of State government. Although all branches of laboratory service listed have public health significance, there is conspicuous difference in identity of the State agency responsible for the provision of each.

Bee text footnote .

Except in Arizona, where the entire State laboratory is administered as an independent agency, and in Wisconsin, where tests for venereal diseases are made by the Psychiatric Institute, the State health department—either independently, or cooperatively with the State university—maintains facilities for diagnosis of all communicable illnesses, including tuberculosis and the venereal diseases. No effort was made in this study to elicit information as to the individual procedures followed in arriving at positive or negative findings or of the verification measures employed. Of particular interest was the disclosure that, for the country as a whole, serologic tests for syphilis represent approximately two-thirds of the entire diagnostic laboratory work of State health departments for all communicable diseases. In only 7 States did this proportion fall below 50 percent of the total work done for transmissible illnesses. Recently enacted premarital examination laws and laws requiring serological tests for expectant mothers are believed to be partially responsible for this concentration of activity in State health department laboratories. Further explanation, no doubt, lies in the fact that many of the simpler diagnostic tests may be performed locally, while fewer of the local units are equipped to do serology.

Aid in the diagnosis of noncommunicable illnesses, when given by the State, is also a function of the health department laboratory, though a number of State hospitals administered by universities, cancer commissions, and independent boards of trustees are likewise active in this field-particularly with respect to tissue examinations for diagnosis of cancer. Even so, laboratory service for diagnosis of noncommunicable conditions is provided by the State far less commonly than is diagnostic service for transmissible diseases. As a matter of fact, only 20 health departments routinely make blood counts, 28 do urinalyses as part of their regularly scheduled work, and 14 reported tissue examinations—which in some instances are limited to cancer. All specimens for diagnosis of human illness must be submitted to the State laboratory by physicians, hospitals, or public health personnel. In about half of the States where laboratories other than those of the health department operate, service is available only to patients of the respective hospitals.

e

d

d

e

n

e

is

11

θ,

Another important function of State health department laboratories is the bacteriological and chemical analysis of samples of drinking water. The water laboratory may be a part of the diagnostic laboratory or it may be operated separately by the division of sanitary engineering. Water samples are collected periodically from public supplies, but samples from private supplies are usually tested only upon request of a local physician, public health worker, or private citizen. It is more customary for the State agency to charge a fee for water analysis than for any other type of laboratory service.

In addition to health department activity, several State universities—either independently, or cooperatively with the health department—

also make analyses of drinking water.

State facilities for bacteriological and chemical analysis of milk, foods, and drugs are scattered among more different agencies of State government than are facilities for diagnosis of disease and determination of the safety of drinking water. This observation is supported by the fact that 3 departments of agriculture are exclusively responsible for milk testing, and 12 for food and drug analyses, whereas 8 and 4 more, respectively, share such duties with State health departments. Besides the departments of agriculture referred to, independent State laboratories or laboratory departments, State chemists, agricultural experiment stations, livestock sanitary boards, public service divisions of State universities, or boards of pharmacy—totaling nearly a dozen in all-likewise analyze milk, foods, and drugs from standpoints which are significant to public health. It is worthy of mention, perhaps, that in 5 jurisdictions no State agency makes either bacteriological or chemical analysis of milk, and in an equal number analysis of foods and drugs is not considered a State responsibility.

Laboratory service for determination of the presence and concentration of dusts, gases, fumes, and other toxic substances injurious to the health of industrial workers is afforded by 30 of the jurisdictions covered by this study. In 25 States, the health department performs all of the tests related to industrial hygiene; in 3, the department of labor functions in this capacity; and in the remaining 2, the departments of health and labor share responsibility for laboratory proced-

ures significant to industrial health.

In addition to performing the routine laboratory services described, public health laboratories of over three-fifths of the States carry on some form of bacteriological and/or chemical research which is related to specific health problems. As a rule, the research activities are conducted on a parallel plane with the regular services rather than set up as separate projects operated by personnel assigned especially for that purpose. Upon occasion, however, certain funds are designated for particular types of research and selected personnel devote their entire time to this purpose. Foremost consideration is given to improvement of the methods used and to comparison of advocated new methods with present techniques. Outstanding among such research, from the point of view of emphasis, is the improvement of diagnostic tests for syphilis. Extensive work is done also in the fields of influenza, typhoid fever, rabies, pneumonia, diphtheria, poliomyelitis, tularemia, food poisoning, and water and milk sanitation.

About half of the State health department laboratories manufacture at least some portion of the biologicals or other materials which are distributed for preventive or therapeutic purposes. Typhoid fever vaccine and silver nitrate are the materials most often prepared in the laboratories of State health departments, although the manufacture of rabies vaccine and of diphtheria toxoid and toxin for Schick tests was reported by more than a half dozen States each. Smallpox vaccine, whooping cough serum, scarlet fever antitoxin, dilutions of tuberculin, pneumonia serum, antimeningitis serum, tetanus antitoxin, and convalescent serum for poliomyelitis are other types of biologicals occasionally manufactured by State-operated laboratories.

In order that accessibility of the State laboratory facilities and services described might be assured to a maximum proportion of the State's inhabitants, more than half of the State health departments have established branches of their main laboratories at various strategic points. Some States have discontinued operation of branch laboratories and emphasize development of local public health laboratories. Seventeen States, either through employment of personnel or purchase of equipment, subsidize public health laboratories operated by local health departments. Payment of fees by State agencies to local laboratories is not a customary procedure for service of any type. Wherever local health units maintain laboratories of their own, the demand upon State facilities is considerably reduced, of course.

State control of techniques employed by private laboratories has been intensified with the increase in serologic work occasioned by recently enacted legislation requiring blood tests before marriage and during pregnancy. In 16 States it is mandatory that the performance of private laboratories engaged in making serologic tests be checked and approved by the director of the State laboratory or his representative. Nine jurisdictions extend State supervision over private laboratories to include all types of diagnostic tests having public health significance. In several States, although no regulatory duties are imposed upon personnel of the official health laboratory, State approval is sought as a mark of recognition. As a general rule, the director and his staff are available for consultation and advice to private laboratories upon request.

s

d

r

HEALTH EDUCATION

The two major objectives in the field of health education may be classified as extension of training for professional personnel and dissemination of health information for the general public. All States recognize the importance of having well-trained professional staff members. Unfortunately, however, it is sometimes necessary for both State and local health departments to employ personnel who have not had adequate specialized training. When such personnel are basically satisfactory in other respects, most State health departments arrange to give them a leave of absence and to bear a considerable portion of the expense of providing additional training for them

in accredited schools. To a large extent, State health departments finance the formal postgraduate training of public health personnel through funds made available by Federal grants-in-aid. Consequently, certain stipulations of the Federal agencies which make the grants must be satisfied in the selection of persons for training and in the length of courses offered. Within these limitations, the number and duration of special training courses vary in accordance with the needs of the employee and the interest and attitudes of the several States. Training funds may be devoted to tuition, stipends, and/or travel expenses of the selected personnel attached to both State and local health department staffs.

During 1940, nearly every State provided intramural training for one or more public health nurses and physicians, the latter group including health officers, division directors, and other medical personnel. In all except 7 States, sanitation personnel—engineers, sanitarians, food and milk inspectors, and the like—received some sort of academic training at State expense, but the number of sanitation personnel who were trained was relatively low. Special courses were arranged for laboratory workers by scarcely more than half of the States. Even less frequently were staff dentists given postgraduate training, since but 35 percent of the States listed members of this

profession among their 1940 trainees.

Explanations of the differences in size and composition of trainee groups are determined by several circumstances. Since a full year of postgraduate work is the maximum training period allowed for a single individual, departments having a high turn-over among their staff members naturally find it necessary to train more employees than do those where there is greater stabilization of employment. Moreover, according to Seger and Dance,4 who have analyzed records of individuals trained with Federal funds over a period of several years, "The high proportion of physician trainees in relation to the proportion of physicians employed in health departments probably reflects the desire of State health officers to emphasize the training of employees in major administrative and clinical positions. The relatively high proportion of applications from nurses may perhaps be attributed to the rapid turn-over in nursing positions as well as to the importance attached to postgraduate training by professional nursing associations. The proportion of applications from sanitation personnel, on the other hand, is relatively low. This may be due in part to lack of appreciation by public health administrators of the advantages of training this class of personnel. Undoubtedly an additional factor, however, is the fact

⁴ Seger, Gordon H., and Dance, Darrell A.: A study of the professional training program for public health personnel under title VI of the Social Security Act and the Federal Venereal Disease Control Act for the fiscal years 1936 to 1940 inclusive. Unpublished data.

that many sanitarians, exclusive of engineers, do not possess the educational qualifications required for matriculation in a graduate school of public health; hence their training was of the informal type." Additional education provided for sanitation personnel, therefore, is more apt to be given through in-service than through academic training. The fewness of laboratory technicians given postgraduate training may be ascribed to the fact that their basic instruction involves a higher degree of specialization than does the broader fundamental training of nurses and physicians. Personnel classified as "Other" in this section of table 1 include, for the most part, health educators and statisticians.

Continuation of training for public health workers is not confined to the relatively small number of employees who temporarily are relieved from duty to receive formal instruction. Programs of in-service training such as institutes, regional conferences, home study courses, or field demonstrations are conducted by all State health departments, a few departments of welfare and agriculture, and nearly a dozen State universities. By such devices active public health personnel are kept informed of newly developed techniques and administrative procedures and an opportunity is afforded for the various workers to present individual problems for group discussions and suggestions. Nurses, physicians, and sanitation personnel appear to be the most common recipients of informal, as well as formal, staff education.

Private practitioners in the fields of medicine, dentistry, and nursing are not overlooked in the plans of most States for postgraduate education of professional groups. Educational measures for private practitioners usually take the form of periodic lectures, seminars, refresher courses, conferences, or short continuation courses at selected universities. Distribution of literature pertaining to specific health problems is also followed quite extensively. Activities of an educational nature have been focused more sharply upon private physicians than upon either dentists or nurses engaged in private practice, and refresher courses for private dentists outnumber those for nurses. Even among the physicians there has been marked selectivity. Those engaged in obstetrics, pediatrics, and in the diagnosis and treatment of venereal diseases, tuberculosis, pneumonia, and cancer receive particular attention in these programs. For the most part, educational pursuits for private practitioners are carried on in conjunction with the various programs dealing with specific health activities of health departments and not as an undertaking for general purposes.

Under this same administrative set-up a certain amount of information for popular consumption is disseminated also. Earlier chapters of this series between demonstrated the fact that educational

See text footnote*.

activities form an integral part of practically every public health program, for it is recognized quite generally that only through public understanding of proven prophylactic and therapeutic measures can

their adoption be assured.

In addition to the separate educational undertakings of specialists in the several branches of public health endeavor, about half of the States operate a central system of releasing instructive health material. These general measures are aimed at promoting interest in and knowledge of the breadth of the complete health scene. Through their offices the mutual relationship of the several specialties is clarified and the numerous objectives and methods are presented as essential parts of a unified whole. In other words, the unit of health education serves as the publicity department for the entire health agency.

For the most part, States employing personnel who devote their efforts exclusively to health education maintain a systematic calendar for presenting certain phases of health work at designated times. Such schedules are sufficiently flexible to allow the filling of special requests to take precedence of routine presentations. In addition to preparing original educational materials such as regular or sporadic newspaper releases, magazine articles, bulletins and pamphlets, radio scripts, exhibits, department periodicals, and speeches, the health educator assembles and distributes materials available from other sources. Not only are these materials used by various staff members of the State agency, but they are also loaned, upon request, to local health departments or to lay groups interested in particular health problems. For example, a number of departments maintain film libraries and circulate their motion pictures throughout the State for showing by local groups.

It is not to be construed that use of the aforementioned educational methods is restricted to health departments employing full-time health educators, for in States without divisions of health education, directors of the various health specialties utilize similar devices. However, in the States having health educators, physicians and nurses are relieved of many publicity duties which they otherwise have to perform. While it appears that the position of health educator is becoming increasingly prominent on the health department staff, no agreement has been reached among the States as to the kind of training a person serving in this capacity should have. In one State, selection is made on the basis of the candidate's qualifications as a teacher; in another, writing ability and experience are the prime requisites; in a third, maintenance of satisfactory contacts with legislative groups is considered most essential; while in still another, a professional lecturer is chosen for the position. Disagreement obtains also with respect to the amount of independence permitted a health educator in the preparation of educational materials dealing with medical and

nursing subjects. The practice most frequently followed is that whereby technical statements are edited by the specialists in the health field concerned before an article is released, whereas the health educator has full responsibility for editorial considerations and for effective methods of presenting the material.

LICENSURE

No survey of the responsibility assumed by State government for the health of its citizenry would be complete without considering the steps it takes to supervise the admission of candidates to professions which participate in the treatment of human illnesses. State control over members of the various professions known as the healing arts is exercised through systems of licensure which require that every candidate to practice within a given State shall satisfy the responsible examining officials as to his training and character. For the most part, each professional group has its own licensing and examining board. For instance, physicians are licensed by a board of medical examiners. osteopaths by a board of osteopathy, chiropractors by a board of chiropractic examiners, dentists by a board of dentistry, and nurses by a nurses' examining board. In other words, representatives of each practicing body serve as judges regarding the eligibility of new aspirants to their particular profession. A few States require that a candidate shall satisfactorily pass a preliminary examination by a basic science board before his application for examination by the board representing his specialty is accepted. It is a more common procedure, however, to merge examination concerning the basic sciences with testing pertaining to his special field. There are, of course, several variations from this general plan of operation.

Five States have a central department of registration and licensing—variously listed as department of civil service and registration, department of registration and education, and department of law enforcement—which functions as the licensing agency for all branches of the healing arts. In a sixth State, physicians, osteopaths, and chiropractors are licensed by a single commission on licensure, but optometrists, nurses, dentists, and pharmacists are each regulated by separate boards. State health departments participate in the licensure of physicians in 9 States, of osteopaths in 6, and optometrists in 5; in 4 States all of the aforementioned professional groups plus chiropractors are under health department surveillance, but in 2 States of this latter listing the actual examining of candidates is done by the respective individual boards. A similar arrangement also exists in one of the States mentioned above, where a central department of registration operates. The general authority of medical examining boards is sufficiently broad

)

0

5

n

to cover, in at least a supervisory way, osteopaths in 11 States, chiropractors in 5, nurses in 2, and optometrists, dentists, and pharmacists in 1 each.

Chiropodists constitute the professional group most frequently referred to under the designation "Other," while naturopaths are next in order. Eight States do not recognize chiropractors, and osteopaths are not permitted to practice in 3.

Another group whose methods of operation are subject to regulation because of their health significance are embalmers and funeral directors. Such persons are under the full jurisdiction of their own licensing and examining board in two-thirds of the States; in 11 jurisdictions the health department, either singly or in conjunction with the board of embalmers and funeral directors, is the control agency; in the remaining States, a variety of practices is followed.

EXPENDITURES FOR CENTRAL OFFICE SERVICES AFFECTING ALL BRANCHES OF PUBLIC HEALTH WORK

It has been emphasized throughout this entire series of discussions⁶ that portrayal of the presence or absence of specific health services and establishment of the identity of State agencies responsible for designated functions have been the primary purposes of the survey. Consideration of the adequacy or volume of the several services afforded has been more or less incidental. At the same time, a more thorough understanding of the over-all situation is possible when some device is employed to measure the relative emphasis placed upon the different services by the various States. Financial expenditures have been selected as the most satisfactory gauge for measurement of this emphasis.

For the country as a whole, more than 10% million dollars, 8 cents per capita, are expended annually by official State agencies for the central services discussed in this chapter. Within individual States, the range extends from 20 thousand dollars in Delaware to nearly 1½ million dollars in New York. (See table 2.) On a per capita basis, however, these two States do not occupy the extreme positions from the standpoint of funds disbursed for central services affecting all branches of public health work. Instead, Ohio and Alaska—expending, respectively, \$0.038 and \$0.296 per person for these purposes—stand at the opposite ends of the scale. Per capita expenditures of the middle 50 percent of the States for central health services (including vital statistics, laboratory diagnosis, health education, and licensure of certain professional groups—particularly those identified with the healing arts) are defined by the limits of \$0.063 and \$0.114. The median per capita expenditure is \$0.082.

See text footnote *.

Table 2 .- Approximate total and per capita annual expenditures* by all official State agencies for central office services affecting all branches of public health work in each State and Territory, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands, and percentage distribution according to type of central service

State or Territory	office servi	for central ces affecting es of public	Percent of reported total expenditure for central office services affecting all branches of public health work which was devoted to the partic- ular service indicated					
	Total	Per capita	Vital statistics	Laboratory services	Health education (including profes- sional training)	Licensure		
Total	\$10, 781, 860	\$0.080	13.7	38, 8	13.8	33.		
Alabama	228, 400	. 081	18.5	56.5	21.6	3.		
Arizona	65,000	. 130	(a)	18. 2	33. 7	48.		
Arkansas	114, 100	. 059	28.0	22.1	15.1	34.		
California	614, 400	. 089	6.3	10.8	7.6	75.		
Colorado	122, 300	. 109	9. 1	17.2	9.4	64.		
Connecticut	198,000	.116	10. 2	51.3	4.5	34.		
Delaware	20, 800	. 078	10.6	59.6	8.2	21.		
District of Columbia	82, 800	. 125	14.0	56.8	(a)	29.		
Florida	261, 500	. 138	24. 4 16. 1	23. 7 50. 9	6. 6 33. 0	(*) 45.		
Jeorgia	204, 900	.066	14. 5	48.9	10.8	25.		
daho	58, 100 631, 200	.080	11.5	18.6	8.4	61.		
llinoisndiana	194, 700	. 057	7.1	16.8	25.0	51.		
owa	180,000	.071	8.7	33.7	11.5	46.		
Kansas	131, 500	.073	16.7	25.0	10.4	47.		
Kentucky.	160, 700	. 056	9.7	16.3	23.8	50.		
Louisiana	152, 900	. 065	29.0	28.1	9.7	33.		
Maine	42, 800	. 051	14.5	38.8	19.6	27.		
Maryland	164, 300	. 090	12.8	41.9	10.7	34.		
Massachusetts	443, 700	. 103	9.4	39.4	8.9	42.		
Michigan	716, 500	. 136	6.2	57.1	16. 3 36. 6	20. 47.		
Minnesota	224, 400 137, 200	. 080	16. 0 18. 0	34.9	38.1	9.		
Mississippi	193, 900	. 051	23. 5	25. 1	11. 7	39.		
Missouri	63, 800	.114	9.1	28.5	10.7	51.		
Nebraska	136, 700	. 104	5, 1	14.3	6.0	74.		
Nevada	29, 900	. 271	7.0	36.1	20.1	36.		
New Hampshire	59, 100	. 120	11.5	48.6	8.3	31.		
New Jersey	370, 300	. 089	10.3	37.3	3.7	48.		
New Mexico	83, 800	. 158	16.7	28.8	18.0	36.		
New York	1, 416, 400	. 105	8.1	83.5	8. 4 19. 6	(*) 26.		
North Carolina	270, 500	.076	10. 8 11. 0	43. 2 36. 2	9. 7	43.		
North Dakota	65, 700 261, 700	. 102	8.8	26.0	3.4	61.		
Ohio	179, 300	.038	22.0	21.2	14.0	42.		
OklahomaOregon	135, 700	. 125	2.9	31.2	13.0	52.		
Pennsylvania	579, 900	. 059	25. 5	23.6	9. 5	41.		
Rhode Island	80, 500	. 113	11.1	72.9	4.2	11.		
South Carolina	105, 800	. 056	18.5	25.7	27.4	28.		
South Dakota	81, 200	. 126	10.5	37.7	16. 5	35.		
Tennessee	239, 700	. 082	12.8	31.9	43. 4	11.		
Texas	320, 600	. 050	11.3	24.1	15.0	49.		
Utah	55, 200	.100	13. 8	29.3	23.9	33. 52.		
Vermont	28, 600	.080	(*)	40.2	7.3	15.		
Virginia	143, 200	. 053	32. 0 15. 1	28. 9 36. 5	17. 9	30.		
Washington	98, 300	. 057	17. 5	39, 9	27.8	14.		
West Virginia	116, 700 181, 400	.058	10.0	52.6	23. 3	14.		
Wisconsin	30, 000	. 120	19.0	39.4	4.3	37.		
Wyoming	21,600	. 296	37.0	56.0	7.0	(0)		
Hawaii	33, 000	.078	56. 4	(a)	42.7	0.		
Puerto Rico	249, 100	. 133	50. 1	42.5	7.4	(a)		
Virgin Islands	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(4)	(a)		

^{*} Expenditures for the services considered represent index rather than absolute amounts. Because of variations in fiscal periods, figures cover the most recent year for which information was a valiable at the data of interview. In some instances, because of overlapping and interweaving of activities, estimates were accepted in the absence of precise expenditure records. All funds disbursed by official State agencies for vital statistics, laboratory services, health education—including professional training, and professional licensure—are included, irrespective of their source. State-appropriated moneys constitute 64 percent of the total; Federal grants-in-aid, 20 percent; and contributions by voluntary agencies, and licensing fees, 16 percent. Approximately 5 percent of the total sum expended for central services has already been reported in chapters IV and VII of this series, since this amount was made available for activities pertinent to venered disease control and maternity and child health services.

* Expenditures for this service as a separate activity were not procurable, and therefore are not a part of the amount listed in the column, "Total."

f

Wealth of the States, as measured by per capita spendable money income, ⁷ appears to have small effect upon expenditures by State agencies for central health services. It is true, of course, that the per capita expenditure of the median State in the wealthiest quarter is higher than the corresponding figure for either of the other quarters. Likewise, each of the four medians roughly reflects the position of that particular group of States in the wealth gradation. Nevertheless, the actual difference between them—\$0.103 for the wealthiest quarter, \$0.085 for the second, \$0.086 for the third, and \$0.071 for the poorest—is not marked.

Geographic position might be said to exert a slightly greater influence upon a State's per capita expenditure for central health services than does its wealth. This conclusion is based on the fact that the divergence between broad geographic areas is somewhat greater than between the several economic levels. States of the western region stand highest in this respect, with a median outlay of \$0.114 per capita, while those of the southern area are lowest, spending \$0.064. The northeastern and central portions of the country hold intermediate positions, \$0.086 and \$0.076 representing their corresponding expenditures, in the order named. The fact must not be obscured that these figures represent expenditures for State activities only and do not include local services. It is quite possible, therefore, that one explanation for the differences cited lies in the larger proportion of work performed by local health units in the Southern States.

One-third of the 10% million dollars expended by official State agencies of the entire country for central health services is devoted to licensing activities, 39 percent to laboratory service, and 14 percent each to vital statistics and to health education (including the training of professional personnel). The degree of uniformity or of diversity which exists among the individual States in this apportioning is disclosed by establishing percentage intervals for each of the four types of service under consideration and determining the concentration of States within these intervals. By this procedure it was shown that, although for the country as a whole nearly equal amounts were expended for vital statistics and health education, the behavior of discrete States was less regular with respect to the proportion assigned

⁷ Martin, John L., National Income Division, Department of Commerce: Income Payments to Individuals by States, 1929-39. Survey of Current Business, October 1940.

⁸ The established geographic areas, with the States contained therein, are as follows: Northeastern: Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and the District of Columbia.

Southern: Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas.

Central: Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas.

Western: Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada, Washington, Oregon, and California.

to health education than with regard to the percentage charged to vital statistics. Half of the States allotted between 10 and 20 percent of the total sum expended for central services to vital statistics, and one-fourth of them allocated less than 10 percent to this service. Only 10 States expended more than 20 percent of the full amount for vital statistics, and expenditures of 4 of these were influenced, no doubt, by their policy of paying local registrars from State funds instead of merely certifying the registrars' reports for payment by local authorities, as is more customary. Insofar as health education is concerned, the greatest degree of concentration occurred below the 10-percent limit. Twenty States were grouped in this interval, 16 in the one immediately higher (10 to 20 percent), and the remainder above 20 percent.

Considerable variation was noted between the distribution for laboratory service and for licensure, likewise. While few States accredited less than 20 percent to either of these services, laboratory activities received from 20 to 40 percent in half of the States, and more than 40 percent in only 16. The reverse situation occurred with respect to licensure. This service accounted for more than 40 percent of the funds in 21 States—the highest number falling in any one interval, while 19 devoted between 20 and 40 percent to professional

licensure.

Appropriations by State legislative bodies make up nearly twothirds of the 10% million dollars expended for central health services, while Federal grants-in-aid constitute one-fifth of the amount, and contributions by voluntary agencies plus fees for licensure account for the additional 16 percent. The major portion of Federal money (15 percent of the total) was made available under title VI of the Social Security Act. The remaining Federal money—4 percent from venereal disease control funds and 1 percent from title V allotments has also been included in the cost figures reported in chapters IV and VII of this series, which covered complete Federal participation in State activities associated with venereal disease control and improvement of maternity and child health, respectively. This was done because laboratory services and the training of public health personnel are sufficiently significant to these specific problems to warrant utilization of a fraction of the earmarked funds for the general purposes referred to. However, the full cost of maintaining and operating public health laboratories and of training public health personnel cannot be pictured without including all funds allotted thereto. Consequently, this small amount of duplication appears to be essential.

Break-down of expenditures by source of funds does not present a constant picture for the four types of service classified as "Central,

[·] See text footnote .

February 12, 1943 276

affecting all branches of public health work." Vital statistics and laboratory services are supported primarily by State appropriations, with 15 and 20 percent, respectively, contributed by the Federal Government and only small amounts derived from miscellaneous sources. Conversely, health education activities—including professional training of public health personnel as well as popular education—are carried on chiefly by means of Federal financial participation. More than three-fourths of the cost is borne by such grants-in-aid, as compared with 16 percent by State funds and 7 percent by voluntary agencies. About three-fifths of the expenses associated with professional licensure are charged to State taxes, and license fees account for the other two-fifths.

DISCUSSION

Specialized health programs are partially dependent upon availability of certain central health services which are operated for the State as a whole and which affect all branches of public health work. Such central services include the recording, analysis, and preservation of vital statistics; maintenance of public health laboratories; education of the public and training of professional personnel in approved principles and practices of public health; and licensure of professions significant to health—particularly those constituting the healing arts. For the most part, these services operate as direct State contributions available from the central office and, with the exception of licensing activities, find greatest development in the health department proper. That is, there is less dispersion among the various agencies of State government with respect to assignment of responsibility for providing the central services discussed in this report than for operation of more specialized health programs covered in earlier chapters.

In support of this statement, it will be recalled that the collection and processing of vital statistics is almost exclusively a health department responsibility, Massachusetts and Alaska being the only jurisdictions where some other agency of State government is officially responsible for this service. Health educational activities, likewise, predominantly are functions of the health department, though in a few States there is collaboration between the health department and the State university or the department of education in the carrying on of certain aspects of the program. Insofar as operation of laboratory facilities is concerned, the health department is almost exclusively responsible for diagnosis of disease and analysis of drinking water, but it is not uncommon for other State agencies-particularly public service divisions of State universities, departments of agriculture, and independent State laboratories or laboratory departments—to be charged with bacteriological and chemical analysis of milk, food, and drug samples. Licensure of professional groups is affected largely by

individual examining and licensing boards representing the various professions. At the same time, in a few States this function is included in the regulatory authority of the State health department.

A considerable degree of variation characterizes the development of the central State services affecting all branches of public health work. States differ with respect to both the amount of analytical study that is made of vital statistics records and the extent to which the information obtained is utilized. They also follow diverse practices from the standpoints of items recorded, methods of collecting and submitting records, and administrative relationships between the State agency and local registrars. Inequalities of emphasis mark the development of the major branches of service of State-owned public health laboratories also. While all States operate laboratory facilities for diagnosis of communicable diseases and analysis of water samples, aid in the diagnosis of noncommunicable illnesses is provided by the State far less commonly. In five jurisdictions, no State agency makes either bacteriological or chemical analyses of milk, and in an equal number analysis of foods and drugs is not considered a State function. About half of the laboratories of State health departments engage in the manufacture of biologicals for preventive or therapeutic purposes. Typhoid fever vaccine and silver nitrate are the materials most commonly prepared. Usually, where the State supervises diagnostic procedures of private laboratories such supervision covers serological tests only: however, some 9 States extend this supervision to cover all types of tests having public health significance. Differences in organization of health education programs are illustrated by the fact that about half of the State health departments maintain special units or employ full-time personnel for the sole purpose of disseminating health educational material among the public at large or special groups thereof. In the remaining States, all educational work is done by the separate division chiefs or their representatives. Finally, variation is inherent also in the development of State licensure of professions and facilities rendering health service. Certain branches of the healing arts are not permitted to practice in some States; in other jurisdictions, State licensure of sanitation personnel, of barbers and beauticians, and/or of hospitals is not required.

Annual expenditures for the aforementioned central health services, which affect all branches of public health work, total more than 10% million dollars. Of this amount, nearly two-thirds is State-appropriated, one-fifth represents Federal grants, and the remainder either is contributed by voluntary agencies or paid as examining fees by professional licensees. Neither State wealth nor geographic position appears to exert an appreciable weight upon the amount expended by an individual State for central health services. Of the two char-

278

acteristics, however, geographic position seems to be the more influential.

From the standpoint of each separate service included in this chapter, about one-third of the total amount was charged to professional licensure, slightly more to laboratory services, and the remainder was evenly divided between vital statistics and health education.

PROVISIONAL MORTALITY RATES FOR THE FIRST 9 MONTHS OF 1942

The mortality rates in this report are based upon preliminary data for 35 States, the District of Columbia, and Hawaii for the first 9 months of 1942. Comparative data by quarters for 1940 and 1941 are presented for 26 States and the District of Columbia.

This report is made possible through arrangement with the respective States which voluntarily furnish provisional monthly tabulations of current birth and death statistics to the United States Public Health Service, which analyzes and publishes the data. Because of lack of uniformity in the method of classifying deaths according to cause as well as some delay in filing certificates, these data are preliminary and may differ in some instances from the final figures subsequently published by the Bureau of the Census.

In the past these preliminary reports have accurately reflected the trend in mortality rates for the country as a whole. Some deviation from the final figures for individual States, especially for figures of specific causes of death, may be expected because of the provisional nature of the information. Nevertheless, it is believed that the trend in mortality within each State is correctly represented. Comparisons of specific causes of death for different States may be subject to some error because of variations in tabulation procedure and promptness of filing the original certificates.

During the first 9 months of 1942 the provisional death rate was 10.2 per 1,000 population compared with 10.5 and 10.8 for the first 9 months of 1941 and 1940. The death rate for the calendar year 1941 was the lowest in the history of death registration in this country. Since the rate for the first 9 months of 1942 is about 3 percent less than the rate for the corresponding period of 1941, it is possible that, unless there was a sharp increase in mortality rates during the last quarter of 1942, the death rate for the calendar year 1942 may be even less than the record low for 1941. The decrease in the death rate from all causes has been widespread; 25 of the 33 States from which data are available reported a lower rate in 1942 than in 1941.

A lower death rate also was reported for each of the important causes except cancer and cerebral hemorrhage. The rate for each of

these two causes was about 1 percent higher than the corresponding rate for 1941. Although the death rate from accidental causes dropped 7 percent compared with the previous year, the decrease resulted from a marked drop in the relative number of fatal automobile accidents—24 percent compared with 1941. The death rate from accidental causes exclusive of automobile accidents actually increased about 4 percent, very likely due to an increase in deaths from industrial injuries.

Among the minor causes of death, only cerebrospinal meningitis caused relatively more deaths in 1942; the rate for this decrease increased from 0.5 per 100,000 population in 1941 to 0.6 per 100,000 population in 1942.

One of the principal reasons for the low death rate during the first 9 months of 1942 was the unusually small number of deaths from the respiratory diseases. The death rate from influenza, which is usually above 20 per 100,000 population, was only 7 per 100,000 during the first 9 months of 1942. The rate for the entire year probably will be somewhat above 7, but even so the record will be definitely better than that of previous years. Pneumonia and tuberculosis also took fewer deaths than in the previous year.

In spite of a sharp rise in the birth rate—nearly 8 percent over 1941—both the infant and maternal mortality rates continued to decline. Unless an unexpected increase occurred during the last 3 months of the year, the rate for each of these will be a new low. Of the 29 States from which reports are available only 4 reported a higher infant mortality rate and 6 a higher maternal mortality rate than in 1941.

Provisional mortality from certain causes in the first 9 months of 1942, with comparative provisional data for the corresponding period in preceding years

1ary 12, 1	243	200					
	Automobile accidents (170 a, b, c)	888				17.2 20.2 16.8	22.4
	All accidents, including automobile accidents (169-195)	722	888	858	768	55.	888
	Nephritis, all forms (130-132)	1 22.50	9285	71 75 81	355	52	782
	Diseases of the heart (90–95)	288	333	296 302	259	5 156 5 157 5 160	258 271 256
	Cerebral hemorrhage, embolism, and throm- bosis (83 a, b)	88 86 16	98 97 103	888	81 79 82	61	8 8 8
	(lö) sutillem sətədaiU	26.1	29.7 31.9	25.7 26.8 26.8	23.0 24.3	20.27	17.2
	Cancer, all forms (45-55)	123	124	123	123	104	116
Death rate per 100,000 population (annual basis)	Pneumonia, all forms (107–109)	44 47 47 47	98 86 86	47	88.82	888	74 63 71
(annua	(SE) (sqqirg) ezneufini	16.4	13.9 39.1 31.2	9.7.9	860 E	4.00 R	8.3 21.4 12.5
lation	Syphilis (30)	33.	300	33.2	33.5	10.7	9.EE
ndod 0	Tuberculosis, all forms (13-22)	43.9	45.7 45.7 45.9	43.7	37.6 39.9 42.0	43.8	50.1 50.8 51.5
100,00	Acute infectious enceph- alitis (lethargic) (37)	4.0	4.10.10	400	1.3		. 12.
ate per	Acute poliomyelitis and polioencephalitis (36)	6.0	999	-2-	1.1		1.3
eath r	Cerebrospinal (meningo- coccus) meningitis (6)	0.6	9.2	30 10 10	400	\$ 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1	1.04
I	Measles (35)	0.1.0	3.5.4.	₩. 6112-4.	41.4		1.0
	Whooping cough (9)	1.7	1.9	1.001-	1.21.		0000 0000
	Diphtheria (10)	4.0.8	1.2.2	6.40	20,00	,	1.300
	Searlet fever (8)	8.0	10.10.00	w.4.0.	01-100		- 30.4
	Diarrhea and enteritis (911) syears (119)	2000	40,00	रू वं वं	*12.5 *9.0	चंचंचं द	10.7
	Dysentery (27)	9.EE	EE	.66	 		.00
	-viersq bas blodqvT (1-2) 19v9l blodq	4.0	6.44	4.0.0	1.00		3.00.00
ber live ths	Maternal mortality	20.00	900	4000	රෝ රෝ රෝ		4.00
Rate 1,000 l birtl	Total infant mortality	344	5000	43 43	39	2	52
	Births (exclusive of stillbir annua) and still births (exclusive of the still births) and still births (exclusive of the still births).	19.5	16.	18.	21.1 19.7 18.3	9	18.00
-Bludoq (All causes, rate per 1,000 tion (annual basis	10.5					10.0
	State and period	January-September: 1942 1941 1941 1940 January-March:	1942 1941 1940 April-June:	1942 1941 1940 July-September:	1941 1940 1940 Industrial policyhold- ers: 3	1942 1941 Colorado:	1941

NON 8044 40-1- 080 380 884 844 604 604 604 100 840 810 121777 141757 14 23.33 23.23 23.23 23.23 23.23 24.40 25.40 25.40 25.40 25.40 25.40 26.40 000 844 880 800 000 810 884 0 918 114 888 0 0 880 888 140 800 001 ... 'ಚಳ ಚಗ್ಗ ಚಗ್ಗ ಹೊಳಚ ಹಚಚ 'ಹಗ ಗಗ ' ಚಗಚ ಗಗ ' ಗಹಗ ಚಿಹಕ 844 190 010 869 r 8 ret 8re 886 14r 800 EE, EEE 000 8 8 444 488 8 88 880 844 488 948 ಚ.ಗಳ ರಂಭಕ ಗೌಸ್ತರ ಇರ್ಥ. ಹಾಸ್ತರ ಕೊಳಕು ..ಗನ ಚನ್ನಚ ಚಗ್ಗ ಕಚ್ಚ ಸ್ವರಿಸಿ ರಾಜ ಹರು ೨೦೧೬ ಚರಿಗ ಗಂತಹ ಗರಾರ ಶಾಸಹ ಹರುವ ಕಹರು ಬರಲ ರಾಜ ಕಕ್ಕಾ - 100 0 000 400 000 000 000 040 000 04 000 440 NW4 000 000 NXF 684 ULU 200 010 010 400 FOT 이어의 느느속 이어의 속은는 속속은 어어서 어어의 어어의 어어의 어어의 문속속 2121 549 029 224 070 575 575 575 587 584 584 584 585 385 2002 440 018 818 480 184 000 NO 1-00 001 04 04 00 00 OD 44 olumb

at

Provisional mortality from certain causes in the first 9 months of 1942, with comparative provisional data for the corresponding period in preceding

1	Automobile acci dents (170 a, b, c)	18.5				28.65 20.05 20.05		
	All accidents, including automobile accidents (169-195)	8238	822	78	62	388	652	200
	Nephritis, all forms (130-132)	028	92.0	110	725	55 55	43	552
	Diseases of the heart (90-95)	227 250 252	353	340 343 352	434	293	273	245
	Cerebral hemorrhage, embolism, and throm- bosis (83 a, b)	825	222	88 88	108	9278	8 8 8	98
,	Diabetes mellitus (61)	16.4	28.7 31.9 29.7	29.2 31.0	36.2	25. 5 27. 0	25.1	12.8 14.0 10.5
	Cancer, all forms (45-55)	98.95	147 151 147	135 142 139	174 176 171	116 116 117	135 142 133	113
Death rate per 100,000 population (annual basis)	Pneumonia, all forms (107-109)	1802	51 55 15	61 67	228	43	25.55	543
(annua	Influenza (grippe) (33)	12.7 30.8 34.0	10.3 23.2 12.3	11.4	6/ 30/ 69 60 60 40	80 0 0 0 0	14.7	20.2 12.6
lation	Syphilis (30)	855	83.00 8	33.55	33 E	9.55	3.5.E	300
ndod 0	Tuberculosis, all forms (13-22)	51.0 58.3 60.5	31.8	74. 1 77. 7 82. 9	39.0 38.5 38.9	34.3 32.6 34.8	24.9 30.2 27.4	35.0 38.0 41.3
r 100,00	Acute infectious enceph- alitis (lethargic) (37)	0.2	6,60	004	3.4.50	60,00	040	, e, c,
ate pe	Acute poliomyelitis (36) polioencephalitis (36)	0.00	466	E	555	1	2100	0.1
Death 1	Cerebrospinal (meningo- coccus) meningitis (6)	87.0	1.33	2.1.24.	001-61	80-61	01401	1.2
	Measles (35)	1.5	1.3	0.0.	40-16	1.64	5.50	3.1
	Whooping cough (9)	2022	000		1.3	1081	1.2	127
	Diphtheria (10)	5 - 1 - 1	60,60	(3)	2-2	6,60 €	w 4. c₁	1.0
	Scarlet fever (8)	0.1	0.80	77.	2000	800	6000	21.7
	Diarrhea and enteritis under 2 years (119)	10.2	7.5 6.1 5.0	10.9	0.4.0. €0.00	440	204	6.43
	Dysentery (27)	33.7	.55	- 60	EE	EE	EE	5 5
	Typhoid and paraty- (1-2) phoid fever (1-2)	90.8	800	4,00,10	.3 .3	-00	. E.	5,12
per live hs	Maternal mortality	50 + 50 50 - 50		21.0				Si-15
Rate per 1,000 live births	Total inlant mortality	623	223	\$8 \$	eee	4 38	888	4387
	Births (exclusive of stillbi	20.6		16.87	EEE ;		10.00	20.00
-eIndod	All causes, rate per 1,000 tion (annual basis	9.5	12.5	12.3			00 00 00 00 00 00	10.0
	State and period	Louisiana: 1942 1941 1940 Maine:	1942 1941 Maryland:	1942 1940 Massachusetts:	1942 1941 1940 Michigan:	1940. 1941. Minnesota:	1941 1940 Montana:	1940

282

259 63 64 15. 235 66 63 18. 239 65 66 17.	65 244 87. 55 231 104. 58 160 70.	78 97 80 40,40	58- 15. 61- 17. 61- 16.	282	39 13. 63 15.	32.77	21.7	55.55	0.00	15.0	5,8,5	218
259 63 235 66 239 65		200	000	000			0	575	222	522	559	132
259 235 239	388				800	8878	12.08	10 10 10		400	200	
		545	200	238	488	3238	3333	955	104	828	655	25 25
	372	118	385	159 161 153	205 205	314 309 316	194 186 162	333	359 382 369	211 214 228	176 175 195	187
104	104	88 82	72	888	77 275	105	78 78 18	2000	100	25.55	35.9	862
25.6	15.2	6.5	38.0 40.2	12.2	21.2	80.08	16.0	32. 4 34. 8 35. 6	38.8	28.9	12.3	13.2
125	124	522	162 156 157	28 88	288	135 137 136	883	125 123 124	143 149 160	901	1381	97
432	848	63 55 52	45	47 58 61	333	44	58 58 56	40	46	53	75	41
10.5 27.9 20.8	7.8.7	28.4	7.7%	8.3	13.2	9.0	23.0	20.00	400	22.3	17.3 39.0 35.8	14.0
9	0	90	*	10	1-	-	6	40	10	60	40	
1.EE	100	9.55	300 200	r. 50	455	335	œ66	3.5.5	9.55	e 88	460	88
15.5	68.8 8.8 8.8	55.2 66.6 74.7	42.8 46.6	44.8 50.1 50.5	21.2 20.6 19.6	42.4 40.6	46.4	38. 6 39. 7 40. 5	3,50,00	26.4 45.2	74.9	54.0
 	3.23	1.0	0000	H 44	1.29	011-10	10 41-	01-9	4.4.61	€ 8.	500	64
40.8	31.2	1-01-0	C4 C9 ==	664	01401	81.0	1.5	011-03	0,04	933	1.0	400
200	2.5	F810	œ +0 -4	5000	33.	-24	1.13	1-001-	440	888	1.1	6.40
	33,8	11.3	m ** m	0004	0.44	32.5	1.1	1.3	1-00	€	5.50	2.0
1.64	31.00	11.3	1.0	3,1	122	1221	441	1.3	1.2.4.	0.8.E	3,6,0	800
660	133	1.4		2.1.0	1.3	oj 05. ≠	400	 	3.6	1.6	1.0	1.6
7-3	33.	E	010100	400	440	400	01000	400	2.4.E	8888	500	8
0000 0000	3.4.7	30.7 47.5 42.7	3.0	13.0 19.4 12.9	5.3.6	6.7.4 200	10.0	80 ± 80	9.7 1.9	4.1.9	10.8	18.1
33.	33.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	8.EE	33.	33.7	₹.55	EE	0.00	33.2	333	666	938	00
-014	3,812	222	616161	1.0	3.2	61 60 00	1.5	8000	3.2	3.3	1.5	1.4
-4x		00 00 ₽ 00 00 ₽	010100 010100	80 to 30	2000	350	600	1919	9000	200	404	66
8888	44	94	322	51 61 58	3000	39 38	41 51 47	4388	8832	488	288	88
16.8	21.7 18.4 19.0	25.2 27.2 31.0	17. 1 15. 7 14. 7	22.23	19.6 21.9 21.1	19.2 17.2 16.5	20.9 19.7 18.7	20.0 18.2 16.3	18.4 16.1 15.2	18.6 18.6 18.5	19.8 19.1 17.8	88
9.99.9	14.7 11.6 12.0	9.3 10.5 10.6	10.7 10.9 11.2	9.00	F. 80 F.	10.9	- 00 to	10.6 10.8 11.0	10.7	90.00	8.9 9.8 10.2	00 00 00 00
1942 1941 1940 Nevada	1942 1941 1940 New Mexico		1942 1940 North Carolina	1942 1940 1940 North Devote	1942	1942. 1941. 1940.	1942. 1941. 1940.	1942. 1940. 1940.	1942 1941 1940 South Tubote 6	1942 1941	1942	1942

Bee footnotes at end of table.

28.0 32.0 32.0 1-00 4 Provisional mortality from certain causes in the first 9 months of 1942, with comparative provisional data for the corresponding period in preceding (170 a, b, c) 0000 36. 88.83 Automobile accidents 828 57 8282 95 (961-691) All accidents, including automobile accidents 73 2008 62 Nephritis, all forms (130-132) 80 80 2562 Diseases of the heart (99-95) embolism, and throm-bosis (83 a, b) 0220 74 Cerebral hemorrhage, ₹ 60 ±0 883 20. (1a) sutillem setedaid 92 888 82 67 88 Cancer, all forms (45-55) Death rate per 100,000 population (annual basis) 328 544 1252 45 Pneumonia, all (107–109) SHIJOJ -00 (D (N) (C) 5000 17.7 Influenza (grippe) (33) 12.00 29.3 7.34 400 335 ∞ E E (98) silidqq8 004 51-4 Tuberculosis, all forms (13-22) 12.11. 30.33 58. 16. Acute infectious enceph-alitis (lethargie) (37) @ roi O Continued Acute polioencephalitis (36) 33 1.5 Cerebrospinal (meningo-6) meningitis (6) C 460 Pidinie Measles (35) years 1-04 03 00 0-101 45 Co Whooping cough (9) 3in 100 EEE EE Diphtheria (10) · 00 -i 9.8 4.0 00 Scarlet fever (8) 0000 Diarrhea and enteritis under 2 years (119) ાં લં છ S 55 50 000 88 400 Dysentery (27) EEE 01-10 Typhoid and paraty-phoid fever (1-2) 0 SSS 0000 2110 9101 Rate per 1,000 live births क्षं चं 300 Maternal mortality 4274 33 59 4 50 4 Total infant mortality 000 (sized launna) noiseluqoq 000,1 24.27 80,00,00 21.21 0000 Births (exclusive of stillbirths) per All causes, rate per 1,000 popula-tion (annual basis) ගේ ශේ ශේ 9:1: 5.1.1 ගේ ගේ ගේ State and period Wyoming:9 Vermont Virginia: 940 Utah:

Includes all of the States listed below except Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachu-

The rates for 1942 are subject to correction as they are based **The District of Columbia is included as a State. Estimated population July 1, 1942, 78,969,330. Includes all of the setts, Nebraska, Rhode Island, and Texas, with data for the 9-month period of 1942, 1941, and 1940.

**Data not available.

**Data not available.

**These data are taken from the October 1942 Statistical Bulletin published by the Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. 7 cassified as diarrhea and entertitis, age not specified.

**Classified as diarrhea and entertitis, age not specified.

These data from the Public Health Service.

No deaths reported.

**Less than 1/10 of 1 per 100,000 inhabitants.

DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED JANUARY 30, 1943

[From the Weekly Mortality Index, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce]

	Week ended Jan. 30, 1943	
Data from 90 large cities of the United States: Total deaths	10 101	0.010
	10, 181 9, 810	9, 016
A verage for 3 prior years Total deaths, first 4 weeks of year	41, 264	38, 052
Deaths under 1 year of age	735	548
A verage for 3 prior years	570	040
Deaths under 1 year of age, first 4 weeks of year	2, 987	2, 304
Data from industrial insurance companies:		
Policies in force	65, 305, 721	64, 892, 393
Number of death claims	13, 805	13, 017
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate	11.0	10.5
Death claims per 1,000 policies, first 4 weeks of year, annual rate	11. 2	10.4

PREVALENCE OF DISEASE

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring

UNITED STATES

REPORTS FROM STATES FOR WEEK ENDED FEBRUARY 6, 1943 Summary

Morbidity reports for the week ended February 6 show that of the nine common communicable diseases included in the following tables the incidence of only meningococcus meningitis and poliomyelitis is above the respective 5-year (1938-42) medians. Slight increases over the figures for the preceding week are shown for smallpox, typhoid fever, and whooping cough, and moderate increases for measles and scarlet fever.

There were 330 cases of meningococcus meningitis reported for the week, more than reported for any single week of any prior year since the week ended March 28, 1936. For the preceding week a total of 339 cases was reported. Of the current week's total, 71 cases were reported in the South Atlantic States (18 in Virginia and 14 in Maryland), 67 in the Middle Atlantic States (39 in New York and 16 in Pennsylvania), 46 in the Pacific States (24 in California), and 42 in the New England States (23 in Rhode Island). Missouri and Texas each reported 13 cases.

The number of cases of measles increased from 10,887 to 13,444, which is less, however, than was reported for the corresponding week of any of the past 5 years except 1939 and 1940. The largest numbers were reported in Pennsylvania (2,846), New York (1,205), and Washington (915).

The number of reported influenza cases declined from 4,852 to 4,327, of which 2,908 cases, or 69 percent, were reported in Texas (1,589), Virginia (660), and South Carolina (659).

The number of poliomyelitis cases reported for the week decreased from 31 to 28. The corresponding median is 21. Cases reported for the week were scattered in 16 States, no State reporting more than 3 cases.

Other reports include 1 case of anthrax (in Pennsylvania), 180 cases of dysentery, 13 cases of encephalitis, 20 cases of tularemia, and 55 cases of endemic typhus fever.

The number of deaths for the current week in 89 large cities of the United States aggregated 10,016, as compared with 10,163 for the preceding week. The accumulated figure for the first 5 weeks of 1943 is 51,216, as compared with 46,890 for the corresponding period of 1942.

Telegraphic morbidity reports from State health officers for the week ended February 6, 1943, and comparison with corresponding week of 1942 and 5-year median

In these tables a zero indicates a definite report, while leaders imply that, although none were reported, cases may have occurred.

	D	iphthe	eria		Influen	za		Measle	13		ngitis, r ocoecu	
Division and State		eek ed—	Me- dian,		eek led—	Me- dian,		eek ied—	Me- dian,	We		Me- dian,
	Feb. 6, 1943	Feb. 7, 1942	1938- 42	Feb. 6, 1943	Feb. 7, 1942	1938– 42	Feb. 6, 1943	Feb. 7, 1942	1938- 42	Feb. 6, 1943	Feb. 7, 1942	1938- 42
NEW ENG.						1						
Maine	1 0 0 5 5	0 0 0 4 3 3	0 0 0 4 0 1	17	1	8	12 23 308 572 20 506	269 0 3 462 100 170	104 16 12 438 19 143	3 11 1 0 6 23 1	0 0 4 0 1	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
MID. ATL.												
New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	7 1 10	16 5 11	26 10 43	1 12 18 2	1 10 23	1 19 42	1, 205 726 2, 846	555 165 1, 553	706 165 1, 553	39 12 16	9 3 5	2 5
E. NO. CEN.												
Ohio	13 7 10 8 6	17 10 17 1 0	18 18 29 10 0	14 8 14 35 84	14 40 29 21 35	14 40 54 14 51	136 321 371 166 641	180 78 171 110 241	180 78 171 420 554	11 8 8 5 8	0 1 1 1	1 1 0 0
W. NO. CEN.												
Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas	5 1 8 0 0 4 6	3 4 4 5 2 0 4	2 4 7 3 2 1 5	3 28 2 38 11	2 7 8 35	5 11 24 27 1	21 75 147 7 136 95 348	461 103 189 190 0 25 278	380 103 31 19 31 25 278	1 13 1 2 2 4	0 0 1 0 0 2 0	0 0 1 0 0 0
SO. ATL.												
Delaware Maryland ^a Dist. of Col Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	0 4 2 12 5 14 4 1 6	0 13 1 14 8 12 14 7 5	8 3 14 8 17 6 7	17 2 660 23 35 659 133 3	40 1 369 27 80 871 117 14	61 5 1, 100 42 80 871 131 14	3 19 30 201 5 56 23 40 17	24 340 18 140 584 1,003 205 406 114	24 25 14 140 125 570 114 97 61	0 14 2 18 2 10 10	0 5 1 3 3 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 3 1 2 1
E. SO. CEN.												
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi 3	11 13 4	7 6 14 3	7 8 12 5	7 71 215	10 127 700	91 172 700	608 204 13	47 112 94	63 74 90	1 4 4 7	1 1 1 4	3 3 2 1
W. SO. CEN.												
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	5 2 6 50	10 14 5 42	10 9 9 42	203 13 82 1, 589	426 24 231 1, 693	426 24 231 1, 693	201 35 20 199	289 47 252 1, 909	120 3 48 218	1 2 0 13	3 2 0 2	1 2 1 2
MOUNTAIN												
Montana Idaho Wyoming ³ Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah ³	1 0 15 0 0 1 0	7 0 0 6 2 5 0	1 0 0 6 2 5 2	1 54 93 2 56	31 119 85 8 232 6	25 2 4 35 9 232 20	163 278 38 366 7 12 271 51	168 6 39 223 82 220 28 7	63 14 7 89 37 8 38	0 0 2 0 1 0 2 2	0 0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0
PACIFIC Washington Oregon California	3 0 20	1 1 17	1 2 24	1 32 84	11 28 175	11 59 175	915 562 425	70 120 2, 501	81 120 428	11 11 24	0 0 1	0 1 1
Total	285	323	421	4. 327	5, 667	5, 667	13, 444	14. 351	14. 031	330	60	60
5 weeks	-	-			-		49, 545	50, 679		1,612	290	275

See footnotes at end of table.

Telegraphic morbidity reports from State health officers for the week ended February 6, 1943, and comparison with corresponding week of 1942 and 5-year median—Con.

	Pol	liomye	litis	So	earlet fe	ver	S	mallp	D.K.		oid an hoid f	
Division and State	wend	eek ed—	Medi-		eek ed—	Medi-		eek ed—	Medi-	wende	eek ed—	Medi
	Feb. 6, 1943	Feb. 7, 1942	an 1938- 42	Feb. 6, 1943	Feb. 7, 1942	an 1938- 42	Feb. 6, 1943	Feb. 7, 1942	an 1938– 42	Feb. 6, 1943	Feb. 7. 1942	an 1938- 42
NEW ENG.												
Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	19 14 12 438 41 85	15 6 6 337 13 54	18 6 6 205 10 77	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 5 0 2	
MID. ATL. New York New Jersey	3 0	1 2	1 2	463 100	445 130	490 175	0	0 0	0	3 3 5	6 0 4	
Pennsylvania	2	2	0	309	298	468	0	0	U	5	4	
E. NO. CEN. Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan ³ Wisconsin	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 2 1 0	0 0 2 1 0	391 161 216 111 254	376 116 260 224 180	376 206 579 298 180	3 10 1 0 0	0 0 2 0 0	1 4 2 3 2	0 2 2 2 0	2 0 2 6 3	1
W. NO. CEN.												
Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas	1 0 1 0 0 2 3	0 0 1 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	76 57 109 1 20 30 81	97 63 131 30 54 34 90	136 75 114 30 25 34 121	0 0 0 0 1 1 3	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	16 5 2 0 6 1	0 3 1 0 0	1 1 0 0 0	
SO. ATL.									0	0	0	
Delaware Maryland ² Dist. of Col. Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida E. SO. CEN.	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 1 2 1 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 2 1 0 0	9 83 21 38 34 63 8 28 13	56 90 11 48 60 68 9 17	9 56 19 40 50 53 9 19	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 2 0 1 3 2 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 25 3	
KentuckyAlabama	1 1 0 1	0 4 0 0	1 0 0	46 40 25 12	84 84 11 7	84 67 14 10	1 0 1 0	4 0 2 2	3 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 3 1 3	
W. SO. CEN.	-											
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	0 0 0 3	1 0 0 3	0 0 0	5 16 14 90	10 6 25 49	10 15 31 80	0 0 1 4	0 0 1 2	1 0 1 5	1 2 0 3	2 3 1 3	10
MOUNTAIN Montana Idaho. Wyoming ² Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah ² Nevada	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 1. 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 18 70 52 4 10 100 3	38 4 20 37 5 9 39 4	35 8 8 8 37 6 9 38	0 2 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 6 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2 0 1 0 0 0 0	1100
PACIFIC					-						0	
Washington Oregon California	0 0 3	0 0 1	1 1 1	28 16 189	25 6 133	54 34 197	0 0	0 0	0 6	3 4	0	8
Total	28	29	21	4, 037	3, 925	4, 868	28	17	71	48	85	85
δ weeks	164	138	138	18, 187	18, 045	21, 356	155	84	390	249	400	403

Telegraphic morbidity reports from State health officers for the week ended February 6, 1943, and comparison with corresponding week of 1942 and 5-year median—Con.

	Whoo	ping e	ough				Week e	nded Fe	b. 6, 19	43		
Division and State	We		Me-		1	Dysente	ry	En- ceph-	Lon	Rocky Mt.	Tula-	Ty-
	Feb. 6, 1943	Feb. 7, 1942	dian 1938- 42	An- thrax	Ame- bic	Bacil- lary	Un- speci- fied	alitis, infec- tious	Lep- rosy	spot- ted fever	remia	phus
NEW ENG.												
Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut	114 14 19 207 27 59	22 4 29 236 74 122	29 4 23 186 34 74	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
MID. ATL. New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	361 143 347	594 232 243	439 144 372	0 0 1	3 0 1	15 0 0	0 0	2 1 1	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	
E. NO. CEN.	O'A.	210	0.2									
Ohio	248 61 161 216 241	268 39 183 294 327	205 23 125 232 175	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0	0 0 2 0 0	0 0	2 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0	0 1 2 0 0	
W. NO. CEN.												
Minnesota. Iowa	74 30 15 9 15 7 41	56 42 38 8 8 1 41	56 31 38 26 8 6 41	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	8 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0000	0	
SO. ATL.												
Delaware Maryland ³ Dist. of Col. Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia. Florida.	5 47 24 105 67 177 70 24 12	2 61 11 65 55 224 71 33 39	3 64 9 65 55 231 71 26 36	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 19 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 1 0 5	
E. SO. CEN.												
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi ¹	26 76 26	122 41 9	71 46 14		0	0	0	0	0000	0	1	
W. SO. CEN.												
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	19 3 14 357	11 0 8 119	8	0	0	0	0	0	0000	0	2	
MOUNTAIN												
Montana Idaho. Wyoming 2 Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah 2 Nevada	32 3 2 19 19 19 1 25 12	9 1 24 29 72 15	9 2 44 29 26	0	0 1 1 0 0			0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
PACIFIC							0	0	(0	0	-
Washington Oregon California	20 12 250	34	29	0	0	(0	0	(0	0	
Total	3, 856	4, 327	4, 246	1	17	137	7 26	13	(0	20	
5 weeks			-	_	-	-						

New York City only.
 Period ended earlier than Saturday.
 Delayed report from Maine for week ended Jan. 23, 1943, shows 10 cases of meningococcus meningitis, instead of 8.

WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

City reports for week ended January 23, 1943

This table lists the reports from 89 cities of more than 10,000 population distributed throughout the United States, and represents a cross section of the current urban incidence of the diseases included in the table.

	50	infec-	Influ	enza		ningo-	ths	cases	cases		para-	cough
	Diphtheria cases	Encephalitis, in tious, cases	Cases	Deaths	Measles cases	Meningitis, meningo- coccus, cases	Pneumonia deaths	Poliomyelitis ca	Scarlet fever cas	Smallpox cases	Typhoid and typhoid fever	Whooping cases
Atlanta, GaBaltimore, MdBarre, VtBillings, MontBirmingham, Ala	0 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	3	1 1 0 0 0	1 7 0 0	1 12 0 0	7 31 0 0 5	0 0 0 0	9 23 0 0 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	67 0 0 0
Boise, Idaho Boston, Mass Bridgeport, Conn Brunswick, Ga Buffalo, N. Y	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	i 1	0 1 0 1	0 140 0 0 97	0 0 0 0	0 19 4 3 14	0 0 0	0 106 2 0 9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 41 1 3 47
Camden, N. J Charleston, S. C Charleston, W. Va Chicago, Ill Cincinnati, Ohio	5 0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0	30	0 0 1 2	40 0 0 97 29	0 0 0 5 0	3 2 0 35 3	0 0 0 0	3 1 1 79 31	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 0 0 69 4
Clevcland, Ohio	1 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	6 2	3 2 0 0	3 2 0 0	3 0 0 0	14 5 1 0 6	0 0 0 0	41 18 1 0 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	87 3 0 0
Denver, Colo	5 1 0 0	1 9 0 0 0	45	0 3 0 0	99 15 0 4 2	0 5 0 0	7 22 1 4 0	0 0 0 0	9 34 3 6 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	151 4 18 0
Flint, Mich. Fort Wayne, Ind Frederick, Md. Galveston, Tex. Grand Rapids, Mich	2 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		0 1 0 0 0	3 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 0 1 2	0 0 0	5 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 3
Hartford, ConnHelena, MontHouston, TexIndianapolis, Ind	0 0 3 1	0 0 0 0	2	0 0 0 0	10 2 0 157	1 0 0 2	5 0 4 12	0 0 0	0 2 4 40	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 5 5 9
Kansas City, Mo Kenosha, Wis Little Rock, Ark Los Angeles, Calif Lynchburg, Va	1 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	1 1 2 21	2 0 0 4 0	4 2 0 0 0	2 0 0 1	14 0 7 8 0	0 0 0 0	35 2 0 32 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	3 5 0 41 1
Memphis, Tenn	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 2	2 2 1 0 0	9 105 3 0	1 0 2 0 0	6 4 1 0 7	0 0 0 0	8 113 10 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	18 41 4 0 0
Nashville, Tenn Newark, N. J New Haven, Conn New Orleans, La New York, N. Y	0 0 0 1 22	0 0 0 0	2 4 24	1 0 0 4 2	16 19 4 2 65	0 1 0 2 28	0 9 4 13 88	0 0 0 0	2 9 2 4 229	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 2	12 7 1 74
Omaha, Nebr Philadelphia, Pa Pittsburgh, Pa Portland, Maine Providence, R. I	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 1 1 1	0 2 3 0 0	0 1, 189 0 6 8	0 5 1 8 11	1 44 17 8 7	0 0 0 0	3 78 9 2 7	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	1 75 22 24 16
Pueblo, Colo Racine, Wis Raleigh, N. C Reading, Pa Richmond, Va	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4	0 0 0 1	3 10 0 68 4	0 0 1 0 0	2 0 3 1 4	0 0 0 0	1 16 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 8 11 3

City reports for week ended January 23, 1943—Continued

	-	infec-	Influ	ienza		meningo- cases	hs	cases	8		para-	cough
	Diphtheria cases	Encephalitis, in tious, cases	Cases	Deaths	Measles cases	Meningitis, meni coccus, cases	Pneumonia denths	Poliomyelitis ca	Scarlet fever cases	Smallpox cases	Typhoid and typhoid fever c	Whooping co
Roanoke, Va	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	6	0 0 0 0 1	0 10 3 0 5	0 2 4 0 2	0 7 5 6 14	0 0 0	1 5 9 0 19	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 39 11 0 6
Saint Paul, Minn Sait Lake City, Utah San Antonio, Tex San Francisco, Calif. Savannah, Ga	0 1 4 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 10	1 0 2 0 2	8 92 0 14 0	0 1 0 3 0	7 0 11 11 4	0 0 1 0 0	3 25 1 18 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	38 13 2 31 1
Seattle, Wash	2 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	*****	0 0 0 0	30 0 1 154 3	0 0 0 1	9 9 4 1 3	0 0 0 0	4 0 4 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 0 1 0 68
Springfield, Mass Superior, Wis Syracuse, N. Y Tacoma, Wash Tampa, Fla	0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	4	0 0 0 2 0	10 0 4 31 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 2	0 0 0 0	74 1 15 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4 14 0 3
Terre Haute, Ind	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 6	0 1 0 1 0	0 12 5 17 1	0 0 0 2 0	2 4 2 21 1	0 0 0 0	1 4 3 28 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 4 0	. 1 2 20 3
Wichita, Kans Wilmington, Del Wilmington, N. C. Winston-Salem, N. C. Worcester, Mass	0 0 0 1 0	- 0 0 - 0 0 0		0 0 1 0 0	6 2 5 0	0 0 0 0	9 4 2 1 16	0 0 0 0	2 1 4 1 6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 10 6 6
Total	69	2	212	53	2, 635	110	621	1	1, 240	1	13	1, 202
Corresponding week 1942	87	2	293	42	1, 730	18	466	4	1, 218	0	16	1, 350
Average, 1938-42	119		1, 908	1 96	3, 078		1 615		1, 290	15	20	1, 130

Anthrax.—Cases: New Orleans, 1; Philadelphia, 1.

Dysentery, amebic.—Cases: Los Angeles, 2; New York, 5; Philadelphia, 1.

Dysentery, bacillary.—Cases: Buffalo, 1; Detroit, 3; New York, 8.

Dysentery, unspecified.—Cases: Baltimore, 1; San Antonio, 5.

Tularemia.—Cases: Chicago, 1.

Typhus fever.—Cases: Brunswick, 1; Charleston, 8. C., 3; Galveston, 1; Little Rock, 1; Los Angeles, 1;

Worlder, 1. Scarpeth New Orleans, 1; Savannah, 1.

¹ 3-year average, 1940-42. ² 5-year median.

27000

20094

73007

0 0 3

1

75 22 24

16

0 8

PLAGUE INFECTION IN CALIFORNIA AND WASHINGTON

Plague infection has been reported proved in California and Washington as follows:

CALIFORNIA

Siskiyou County.—In a pool of 104 fleas from 7 ground squirrels, C. douglasii, taken on June 10, 1942, 6 miles east of Grenada.

WASHINGTON

Tacoma.—In a pool of tissue from 3 rats, R. norvegicus, taken January 13 and 14, 1943, in Tacoma, Pierce County, Wash.

FOREIGN REPORTS

ARGENTINA

Poliomyelitis.—According to information dated January 25, 1943, 317 cases of poliomyelitis were reported in Buenos Aires, Argentina, and 300 cases in the Province of Buenos Aires, for the period October 1 to December 15, 1942. The remainder of the country reported 74 cases of poliomyelitis for the year 1942.

CANADA

Provinces—Communicable diseases—Weeks ended January 2 and 9, 1943.—During the weeks ended January 2 and 9, 1943, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported by the Dominion Bureau of Statistics of Canada as follows:

Week ended January 2, 1943

Disease	Prince Edward Island	Nova Scotia	New Bruns- wick	Que- bec	On- tario	Mani- toba	Sas- katch- ewan	Al- berta	British Colum- bia	Total
Chickenpox	24	55 11	1 5	59 17	435		53 2	8	32 1	667 41
		23 16	1	20	15 1 119		7 26	1	12 40 42	36 64 225
cus		1 135	2	7	3 656		60	52	2 165	1, 077
Scarlet fever Tuberculosis (all forms) Typhoid and paratyphoid	1	5 8	5 3	50 50	111 117		20 10	15 32	24 10	230 231
fever Whooping cough		31		3 29	4 68		1	2 23	10	9 162

Note.—No figures are available for Manitoba for the above period.

Week ended January 9, 1943

Disease	Prince Edward Island	Nova Scotia	New Bruns- wick	Que- beo	On- tario	Mani- toba	Sas- katch- ewan	Al- berta	British Colum- bia	Total
Chickenpox		24 34	i	191 32 2	423	69	41	16 1	58	822 75 4
German measles		2 2	2 3		16 8	4	1		2 6 21	25 37
Measles Meningitis, meningococ-		1		163	70	13	46	1	10	307
MumpsPoliomyelitis		131	1	57	1, 026	86	68	48	185	1,601
Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis (all forms). Typhoid and paratyphoid	2	8 20	6 13	137 85	130 46	6 15	9	33 6	19 27	348 215
fever				8 2	1	1	1		1	12
Whooping cough		14		162	89	24	1	14	24	328

CUBA

Habana—Communicable diseases—4 weeks ended January 9, 1943.— During the 4 weeks ended January 9, 1943, certain communicable diseases were reported in Habana, Cuba, as follows:

Disease	Cases	Deaths	Disease	Cases	Deaths
Diphtheria Leprosy Malaria Measles Paratyphoid fever	15 22 12 3		Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever Tetanus Tuberculosis Typhoid fever	1 3 1 10 15	

Provinces—Notifiable diseases—4 weeks ended January 2, 1943.— During the 4 weeks ended January 2, 1943, cases of certain notifiable diseases were reported in the Provinces of Cuba, as follows:

Disease	Pinar del Rio	Habana 1	Matanzas	Santa Clara	Cama- guey	Oriente	Total
Cancer Chickenpox		1	3	12		5	2
Diphtheria	1	23	2	5	1	4	3
Malaria	148	23 18	1	50	10	773	1,00
Measles		1	4	*******	******	8	10
Poliomyelitis		2	4	13	1	2	2
Tuberculosis	6	19	11	43	11	50	140
Typhoid fever	2	19	5	33	7	21	8

Includes the city of Habana.

JAMAICA

Communicable diseases—4 weeks ended January 16, 1943.—During the 4 weeks ended January 16, 1943, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported in Kingston, Jamaica, and in the island outside of Kingston, as follows:

Disease	Kingston	Other localities	Disease	Kingston	Other localities
Chickenpox Diphtheria Dysentery Erysipelas Leprosy	1 2 1 3	3 2 4	Scarlet fever Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Typhus fever	22 5	56 25

REPORTS OF CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER RECEIVED DURING THE CURRENT WEEK

Note.—Except in cases of unusual prevalence, only those places are included which had not previously reported any of the above-named diseases, except yellow fever, during the current year. All reports of yellow fever are published currently.

A cumulative table showing the reported prevalence of these diseases for the year to date is published in

the Public Health Reports for the last Friday of each month.

(Few reports are available from the invaded countries of Europe and other nations in war zones.)

Plague

Belgian Congo—Costermansville Province.—During the week ended October 24, 1942, one case of plague with one death was reported in Costermansville Province, Belgian Congo.

Smallpox

Turkey.—During the week ended January 16, 1943, 252 cases of smallpox were reported in Turkey.

Typhus Fever

Hungary.—During the week ended January 16, 1943, 13 cases of typhus fever were reported in Hungary.

Slovakia.—During the week ended January 9, 1943, 15 cases of

typhus fever were reported in Slovakia.

Turkey.—During the week ended January 16, 1943, 53 cases of typhus fever were reported in Turkey.

Yellow Fever

Belgian Congo—Stanleyville Province—Bondo.—On December 13, 1942, one death from yellow fever was reported in Bondo, Stanleyville Province, Belgian Congo.

Colombia—Intendencia of Meta.—On November 29, 1942, one death from yellow fever was reported in Intendencia of Meta, Colombia.

Nigeria—Port Harcourt.—On December 9, 1942, one suspected case of yellow fever was reported in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

COURT DECISION ON PUBLIC HEALTH

Milk-inspection-city ordinance provision held invalid .- (California District Court of Appeal, 3rd District; Meridian, Ltd., et al. v. Sippy, District Health Officer, 128 P.2d 884; decided August 29, 1942.) An ordinance of the city of Stockton designated as the city health officer the district health officer of the San Joaquin health district, the boundaries of which district were identical with those of San Joaquin County. By the ordinance every person engaged in the production, processing, or distribution of milk for retail redistribution was required to obtain a permit from the health officer before selling, distributing, or offering for sale any milk in the city. The ordinance also provided: "In no case shall a permit be issued to any person, firm, association or corporation to sell or expose for sale or exchange, deliver or distribute any milk in the city of Stockton unless the dairy, source of supply or place of origin is regularly inspected by the health officer or his authorized representatives." The health officer of San Joaquin health district did not inspect dairies beyond the boundaries of his district and, as a result of the ordinance, no dairy, although approved by the State director of agriculture, could bring any market milk from outside the boundaries of San Joaquin County and sell it in the city of Stockton. The appellant corporation owned and operated a dairy in Stanislaus County. This dairy complied with all requirements of the State department of agriculture and the ordinances of San Joaquin County, and the appellant had been granted permits under the agricultural code by the milk inspection services of the cities of Oakland and Los Angeles and the city and county of San Francisco. This appellant desired to deliver milk from its dairy to a plant in Stockton for pasteurization and thereafter for resale through said plant and other authorized distributors in said city holding valid permits. On application therefor a permit was refused by the health officer of the San Joaquin health district because the said dairy was not regularly inspected by him. In an action the trial court upheld the validity of the ordinance, but the California District Court of Appeal held invalid that portion of the ordinance quoted above.

Section 491(b) of the State agricultural code provided that, whenever a milk producer or distributor sold or delivered within the jurisdiction of two or more cities or counties, the director of agriculture, after an investigation and consultation with the health officer of each city and county involved, should designate a county or city to conduct dairy and milk plant inspection. The said section further provided: "All market milk and dairy products so inspected may be sold and delivered within the jurisdiction of any county and city; provided, that applicable local ordinances of such county or city are not thereby

violated. The county or city designated by the director to render such inspection shall enforce all applicable local ordinances of each county and city into which such market milk and dairy products are sold or delivered." In compliance with this the director of agriculture had investigated and consulted with the health officers of certain cities, including Stockton, and had designated the health officer of Oakland to conduct the inspection of the appellant corporation's dairy. The quoted ordinance provision was held by the court to conflict with the above statutory declaration that "milk and dairy products so inspected may be sold and delivered within the jurisdiction of any county and city."

Another point passed on by the appellate court arose in connection with the authority conferred on municipalities by section 451 of the agricultural code to provide higher standards for grades of market milk than those provided by State law. The ordinance of Stockton did require higher standards than those required by the agricultural code, but the court, after reviewing the above-quoted portion of section 491(b), pointed out that a State permittee, if he sold milk in a city having an ordinance fixing higher standards, had to comply with those standards and that the State inspector was directed to enforce them. "Therefore, the State law provides a method which permits the free flow of whole milk into the market without unnecessary duplication of inspection without depriving the cities having higher standards of their full protection. This inspection by the designated health officer is State inspection. [Cases cited.] It must be presumed this officer will do his duty." Regarding the enforcement of local ordinances, mentioned in section 491(b), the court said that "to enforce" a law usually meant to cause the arrest and to coerce by "actual force and punishment" but that it did not necessarily imply this. It might mean "to give effect to, to cause to have force." "Section 491(b)," said the court, "deals with permits and it must mean that in issuing those permits the State 'will give force to' local ordinances or 'give effect to them'; therefore, that no permit will issue to sell milk in Stockton unless the municipal standard of requirements be so maintained. The coercion and force to be applied to violators still lies with the city."

The judgment of the lower court was reversed, the quoted portion of the ordinance of Stockton was held invalid, and the appellants were declared to have a right to carry on their respective businesses in Stockton upon compliance with the terms of the agricultural code and the higher standards required by said ordinance.